Cyclometalation of the Platinum Metals with Nitrogen and Alkyl, Alkenyl, and Benzyl Carbon Donors

GEORGE R. NEWKOME,** WALLACE E. PUCKETT, VINOD K. GUPTA, and GARRY E. KIEFER

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803-1804

Received August 5, 1985 (Revised Manuscript Received January 9, 1986)

Contents

I.	Int	roduction	451
II.	Syı	ntheses and Reactions	451
	Α.	σ -Bonded Complexes	451
		1. C(sp ³)-Donors	451
		2. Benzyl C(sp ³)-Donors	457
		3. Alkenyl and Carbonyl C(sp ²)-Donors	461
		4. Thienyl and Ferrocenyl C(sp ²)-Donors	465
	В.	π -Bonded Complexes	467
III.	Str	uctural Analyses	469
	Α.	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies	469
	В.	Infrared Spectrophotometric Studies	469
	C.	X-ray Structural Determinations	486
	IV.	Conclusions	486
	V.	Acknowledgment	487
	VI.	References	487

I. Introduction

Throughout the last decade, intramolecular organometallic reactions have experienced rapid growth in view of their diverse synthetic potential. Many such complexes are defined by an electron pair coordinate donor [Y], which is joined to either a σ - or π -bonded carbon donor. Cyclometalated complexes of the type 1, in which Y is typically a Lewis base, have been the topic of several important reviews.¹⁻¹³ The chelate ring generally possesses three to seven members, with the five-membered ring being most prevalent. Precious metals are most common, although other transition metals can cyclometalate. In syntheses prior to 1980, the C-donor was sp²-hybridized and primarily part of an aromatic ring.



Interest in such organometallics has been generated in many areas, for example, the activation of a remote site in an organic molecule via formation of a new Cmetal bond is indicative of tremendous synthetic importance of these compounds. Recent applications using the homogeneous and heterogeneous catalytic properties of some organometallics have also sparked many new challenging directions, motivated by indus-

[†]Address after July 1, 1986: Department of Chemistry, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620.

trial savings in these critical energy intensive times.

This review will be restricted to metallacyclic complexes, that have (a) C-donors that are not part of a benzene ring, (b) a N-donor (1, Y = N), and (c) a platinum metal (1, M = Ru, Os, Rh, Ir, Pd, or Pt). Since Omae reviewed³ organometallic intramolecular coordination compounds containing a N-donor in 1979, this review will emphasize the recent work on organometallics which possess σ -C(sp³)-donors; however, several sp² nonaromatic examples have been included for comparative purposes. In view of the emerging importance of these complexes, a limited review in this area was thought to be timely. Comprehensive tables of spectral and X-ray data are included so that the spectral characteristics and solid-state geometry of each class of complex can be correlated. Finally, a synopsis of reactions of these cyclometalated complexes has been incorporated.

II. Syntheses and Reactions

A. σ-Bonded Complexes

1. C(sp³)-Donors

Holton and Kjonaas¹⁴ have synthesized five-membered palladacycles by nucleophilic attack directly on the coordinated olefin as envisioned in 3. Typically, homoallylic amines 2 were treated with a Pd(II) salt to form the proposed π -bonded intermediate 3 which in the presence of a good nucleophile such as diethyl sodiomalonate can be converted (90%) to the dimeric metallacycle e.g., 4a.¹⁴ Related π -complexes have been transformed by this procedure to give the corresponding σ -bonded metallacycles; dichloro(2,2,N,N-tetramethyl-3-butene-1-amine)palladium (3b) when treated





George R. Newkome received his Ph.D. from Kent State University in 1966 and after a two-year postdoctoral stint at Princeton University, he joined the chemistry faculty at Louisiana State University. He is currently Professor of Chemistry and the Executive Director for the LSU Center for Energy Studies. Effective July 1, 1986, he will become the vice-provost for research and graduate studies at the University of South Florida and continue his interests in synthetic chemistry. Professor Newkome is currently on the editorial board of the Journal of Organic Chemistry and editor of the Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds: Pyridine and its Derivatives (Volume 14) and was the recent recipient of the LSU Distinguished Research Master award.



Wallace E. Puckett received his B.S. degree from the University of Central Florida in 1978 where he did undergraduate research with Professor J. P. Ikoux. His Ph.D. was completed in 1983 at Louisiana State University under the direction of Professor Newkome. Upon completing his graduate work, Dr. Puckett joined the Dow Chemical Co. and is currently a senior research chemist in the organic specialties laboratory of Dow Central Research in Midland, MI. Dr. Puckett's research interest include synthetic methodology in general organic, heterocyclic, and organometallic chemistry.

with MeOH under basic conditions gave three μ -chloro-bridged dimers 4b-d.¹⁵ The mechanism is still speculative; however, the products were isolated in >70% combined yield with 4c predominating (50%). The bridging chlorides in 4c could be easily substituted by bromide, iodide, or thiocyanate upon treatment of an acetone solution of 4c with LiBr, NaI, or NaSCN,



Vinod K. Gupta received his B.Sc. (Honors), M.Sc., and Ph.D. de-

grees in Chemistry from University of Delhi, Delhi, India. He started teaching at University of Delhi in 1973, after completing his M.Sc. Presently, he is a senior postdoctoral research associate with Professor Newkome at Louisiana State University. His research interests are in the field of synthetic organic chemistry, organometallics, and inorganic complexation. In July 1986, he will be joining the Adhesives, Coatings and Sealers Division of 3M, St. Paul, MN, as a Senior Chemist.



Garry Kiefer was born in Baltimore Maryland in 1954. He obtained his B.S. degree from the University of Central Florida in 1979 and his Ph.D. from Louisiana State University in 1984 under the direction of Professor Newkome. After postdoctoral studies with Professor Newkome, he joined the Central Research Division of Dow Chemical U.S.A., as a Senior Research Chemist. His research interests include synthetic organic methodology, design of macrocyclic host-guest inclusion compounds, mono- and dinuclear organometallics of biological importance, and the use of organometallics as synthetic intermediates.

respectively.¹⁶ Treatment of aldehyde 4c with 4-(dimethylamino)- or 4-cyanopyridine or $(C_6H_5)_3P$ resulted in bridge cleavage to give monomers of the type 5.^{16,17}

Nearly all μ -halo-bridged dimeric metallacycles, represented by 6, react with good coordinating ligands, e.g., $(C_6H_5)_3P$ and pyridine, resulting in bridge cleavage and formation of the corresponding monomer 7. In such cases, the entering ligand, L, is almost always trans to the N-donor. The bridging atoms can also be replaced with AcO⁻ or another halogen (usually Cl or Br). These

bridge cleavages are very common and normally do not result in metal expulsion from the metallacycle.^{16,18}



Bridge splitting of the 4c occurs upon treatment with 2,2'-bipyridine in presence of AgBF₄ or with benzyl-triethylammonium chloride to generate 8 and 9, respectively. The low ν (C=O) are in agreement with the presence of a metal-carbonyl interaction as well as the influence of changes in the coordination sphere.¹⁶



Complex 4a, when treated with hydrogen gas at atmospheric pressure in THF, afforded (91%) the saturated diester 10.¹⁴ Isomer 11 reacted smoothly with H_2 and HCl, whereas with MeI, 11 afforded multiple products including substantial amounts of 12 and MeCl.^{14,18} These results indicated that a Me-Pd(IV) species may have been formed, subsequent to reductive elimination of MeCl.¹⁸ Complex 11 was found, however, to be *unreactive* toward CO or styrene under normal conditions.¹⁸



Holton has successfully employed cyclopalladated intermediates for a stereo- and regioselective prostaglandin synthesis.¹⁹ Although palladacycle 13 was not isolated, its existence was inferred based upon isolation (92%) of pure olefin 14 from the reaction of cyclopentenylamine 15 with Li_2PdCl_4 and diethyl sodiomalonate, followed by diisopropylethylamine.¹⁹



An unusual seven-membered palldacycle has been prepared²⁰ by McCrindle et al. by the treatment of $PdX_2(C_6H_5CN)_2$ with H_2C —CHCH₂C(CH₃)₂CH₂NMe₂ to give initially dimer 16. Dimer 16, when treated with a good Lewis base, e.g., pyridine, $(C_6H_5)_3P$ or Me- $(C_6H_5)_2P$ gave the expected palladacycle 18,²⁰ whose ¹H NMR spectrum showed a highly coupled signal at δ 3.99, which suggested 18 rather than isomer 17. The ¹³C NMR spectra of 18 would also have unambiguously confirmed the structure.



Oxime (Z isomer) of *tert*-butyl phenyl ketone, when treated with Na₂PdCl₄/NaOAc, cyclometalated on a tert-butyl (methyl) group to give 19a.^{22,23} Pinacolone and ethyl tert-butyl ketone oximes were similarly cyclometalated in high yield to form 19b and 19c, respectively. Baldwin et al.²¹ conducted successive palladation-oxidation reactions on a series of oximes, which generated the corresponding β -acetoxy derivatives. Thus, palladacycle 19b gave 20, which subsequently underwent cyclometalation at another methyl group to generate 19d, that was further transformed to the diacetoxy derivative 21. When 19b was treated with 2 equiv of Pb(OAc)₄, ketone 22 was isolated (64%). In a similar fashion oximes 23a, 23c, and 24 yielded the acetoxy derivatives 23b, 27, and 29, respectively. On the basis of limited examples (e.g., 24) the cyclic oximes underwent cyclometalation on the equatorial methyl group (Scheme I).²¹

Oxime O-allyl ethers were observed to undergo palladation on the central carbon of an allyl group with facile nucleophilic addition to the terminus of the double bond.²² Thus oxime **30** smoothly reacted with Na₂PdCl₄-NaOAc in protic solvent (MeOH) to afford **31**; however, in stark contrast, pinacolone N,N-dimethylhydrazone (**32**) palladates regiospecifically at the α -methyl group via terminal N-coordination to generate

SCHEME I



33a.^{22,23} This regiospecificity in palladation between oximes and N,N-dimethylhydrazones offers great synthetic directivity.



Treatment of trans-PdCl₂(MeCN)₂ with a stoichiometric quantity of pinacolone N,N-disubstituted hydrazones in benzene generated the expected 1:1 adduct 34, which subsequently underwent C-Pd bond formation in methanol to give the cyclopalladated hydrazone complexes 33.^{24,166} Further, the cyclopalladation was accelerated by addition of a weak base, e.g., NaOAc. Nonoyama²⁵ conducted a similar cyclopalladation of 1-acetylcyclohexene hydrazones with Li₂PdCl₄ in MeOH using NaOAc, as the base, to afford (33–85%) 35. Like other cyclopalladated halogen-bridged dimers, 35 reacted smoothly with pyridine, (C₆H₅)₃P, and acetylacetone to give the expected bridge-cleavage products.



The amino diester 36 was cyclized in the presence of Li_2PdCl_4 and KO-t-Bu to provide the regio- and stereospecifically fused bicyclic palladacycle 37, which, upon hydrogenation, was converted to cyclopentane 38. This cyclization has been extended by Holton and Zoeller to the preparation of six- and seven-membered rings as well as cyclic ketones in high yield.²⁶



The reactivity of $bis(\mu-chloro)bis[3-(nitrosooxy)bi$ cyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-yl-<math>C,N]dipalladium (**39**), generated by treatment of norbornene with PdCl(NO₂)(CH₃CN)₂ during oxidative conditions, has been modified by adding CuCl₂.²⁷ Thus, with CuCl₂, the thermal decomposition of **39** leading to the formation of epoxynorbornane was completely suppressed, and the norbornene framework was observed to undergo skeletal rearrangement.^{27,28}



Reaction of $[(C_6H_5)_3P]_3Pt^0$ with $[(CH_3)_2N=CH_2]^+Cl^$ gave complex 40a which is a complex derived from the bidentate ylide ligand $[^-CH_2N^+(CH_3)_2CH_2N(CH_3)_2]$. On heating in CH₃CN, 40a was quantitatively converted to carbene complex 41, whereas the corresponding tetrafluoroborate salt 40b did decompose in hot CH₃CN but at a slower rate.²⁹ Since 40b did not generate the carbene complex, it was proposed that the chloride ion was important for this conversion rather than solvent effects.



The π -bonded cis,cis-[Pt₂Cl₄(PMe₂C₆H₅)₂(μ -C₄H₆)] complex (42), generated from [Pt₂Cl₄(PRR'₂)₂] with butadiene in acetone over several days, on treatment with Me₂NH in CH₂Cl₂ gave (87%) complex 43, which contains two trans-fused five-membered rings.³⁰ The molecular structures of both 42 and 43 were proven by X-ray diffraction studies.³⁰



Metalation of the 2-neopentylpyridine methyl group with $Pd(OAc)_2$ has been reported³¹ to afford the novel six-membered cyclopalladated complex 44, which was readily converted into the chloro-bridged analogue by treatment with LiCl. Bridge-splitting reactions also occurred when 44 was treated with 3,5-lutidine and thallium(I) acetylacetone to give the corresponding mononuclear palladacycle.³¹ N,N-Dimethylneopentylamine gave an analogous five-membered trinuclear cyclopalladated complex 45 upon treatment with $Pd(OAc)_2$.³² The standard bridge-cleavage reactions were observed with $(C_6H_5)_3P$ to give trans-{ $Pd(AcO)_2$ - $[P(C_6H_5)_3]_2$ } in 56% yield.³²



Oxidative addition of 2-chloromethylpyridine to $[(C_6H_5)_3P]_4Pd$ generated a 2-picolyl-bridged complex, 46, in which each palladium atom is both N- and C-coordinated. Bridge cleavage occurred when 46 was treated with Na(HBPz₃) (Pz = 1-pyrazolyl) to give 47, whereas reaction of 46 with Na(BPz₄) or K(H₂BPz₂) resulted in the substitution of the terminal ligands to form 48a or 48b, respectively. The treatment of 46 with hydrated silver perchlorate, in the presence of tri1-pyrazolylmethane, selectively replaces the chloride ligands, rather than (C₆H₅)₃P, by aquo ligands to afford 49.³³



The monosubstituted cluster complex $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{11}$ -(CN-*t*-Bu) (50) reacted readily with hydrogen in refluxing cyclohexane to give five complexes, which upon chromatographic separation afforded the μ_3 -formimidoyl complex 51, as the major product. The structure of 51 was characterized by ¹H NMR and mass spectra.³⁴ The mechanistic aspects are purported to be the addition of hydrogen to the cluster with loss of CO, followed by insertion of the isocyanide into a Ru–H bond.



2-Vinylpyridine readily inserted into a H–Ru bond of {RuClH(CO)[(C_6H_5)_3P]_3} (52) to yield a new substituted alkylruthenium complex 53.^{35,36} In addition, complex 53 underwent halogen exchange with LiBr-H₂O in acetone to generate the analogous bromo complex.³⁶ It was spectroscopically (NMR) determined that the P ligands were trans disposed.

Intramolecular interactions between organic isocyanates on a dirhodium center of $(\eta$ -C₅H₅)₂Rh₂(μ -CO)(μ - η ²-CF₃C₂CF₃) or $(\eta$ -C₅H₅)₂Rh₂(CO)₂(μ - η ¹-CF₃C₂CF₃) in THF resulted in the formation of a novel complex 54, which was the first example of the coordination of organic isocyanates to a dimetal center. Formation of the air-stable, orangish red complex 54 established that the RN=C=O group is highly reactive toward unsaturated carbon atoms of the type =CCF₃. Although even with vast spectral data, considerable uncertainty about the ligand-dirhodium bonding remained; the X-ray crystal structure of 54 was reported³⁷ and confirmed the mode of coordination.



 μ -Chloro-bridged complexes have been converted to novel dioxygen-bridged complexes via anion exchange; thus, the dinuclear palladacycle 55 with potassium superoxide in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ under an inert atmosphere at 20 °C quantitatively gave complex 56, which is stable for months under a dry environment. Upon treatment of 56 with diverse reagents, new bridged complexes 57 and 58 were formed and H₂O₂ was liberated; complex 56 did not, however, react with olefins, suggesting the bridging dioxygen is coordinated as O₂²⁻ and is a strong base.^{38,39}



S,S-Dimethylsulfonium 2-picolinylmethylide (59) has been shown⁴⁰ to cyclometalate with $PtCl_2[S(CH_3)_2]_2$ in CH_2Cl_2 to give (17%) the yellow ylide complex 60, which upon standing (25 °C; 96 h) underwent ligand exchange to generate the orange platinacycle 61 via C–S bond fission and chloride migration to the ylide carbon. Similarly, N-ylide 62 reacted with $PtCl_2[S(CH_3)_2]_2$ to form 63, which underwent deprotonation and ligand exchange upon addition of ethylenediamine. Crystallization (the perchlorate) gave the cationic complex 64, which possesses an unusual tricoordinate ylide carbon stabilized by contribution from the carbonyl group in an "enolate-like" structure. The C–C (*C*-carbonyl–C_{sp}) bond length is short [1.39 (2) Å] while the C=O bond distance is long [1.30 (1) Å], indicative of electron delocalization from the ylide C atom to the carbonyl group.⁴¹ The preparation of Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes with chelate ylides having carbonyl stabilization and a pyridinium ylide has been reported.⁴²



Treatment of $Pt_2Br_2[P(CH_2CH_3)_3]_2$ with 2-vinylpyridine under anhydrous conditions gave predominantly trans-{ $PtBr_4[2-CH_2CH(C_5H_5N)][P(CH_2CH_3)_3]$ }, which upon recrystallization from damp solvents gave a high yield of 65.⁴³ This Pt(IV) complex 65 has been proposed to be formed via initial cyclometalation, followed by the unusual oxidation of the cycloplatinated intermediate 66. trans-{ $PtBr_2[2-(CH_2BrCHBr)py]$ -(PEt_3)}, { $PtBr_3[2-(CH_2CHBr)py](PEt_3)$ }, or trans-{ $PtBr_4[2-(CH_3CO)py](PEt_3)$ } failed to give 65.⁴³



Ortho-metalated trans-2,6-bis[(dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl-N,N',C complexes of Pd(II) and Pt(II) have been prepared by the reaction of cis-[PtCl₂(SEt₂)₂] or [PdBr₂(C-1,5-C₈H₁₂)], respectively, with the anion derived from 2,6-(Me₂NCH₂)₂C₆H₃Li. Upon treatment of Pt(II) complex 68 with AgBF₄, the aquo intermediate 69 was obtained, which in the presence of MeI formed a Pt-Me transient species, that underwent a Pt \rightarrow C methyl shift to generate 70. The structure of 70, possessing a novel σ -aryl-platinum bond, has been established by single-crystal X-ray studies.^{44,45}



Palladium(II) complexes derived from ligands containing stabilized carbanions have recently been prepared. An ethanolic solution of pyridine derivative 71a was stirred with K_2PdCl_4 , aqueous KOH, and 1 equiv of an external ligand, e.g., pyridine, to afford 72 in good overall yield.^{46,47} The external monodentate ligand was exchanged to form various mono- and dinuclear complexes.⁴⁸ Other Pd(II) and Pt(II) complexes possessing trans C-metal bonds in both five-^{49,53} and six-membered^{50,53} chelates (73 and 74, respectively) have been prepared and fully characterized; pyrazines have generated the related metal–C polymers.

Complex 72 rapidly demetalated with Br_2 in CH_2Cl_2 to afford (100%) the novel dibromide 71b. Reaction of 72 (R = CO₂Me) with HCl slowly regenerated 71a, whereas under an atmosphere of hydrogen gas, the C-Pd bonds were very slowly broken to afford direct access to the formation of a palladium mirror and 71a. Increased steric bulk of the ester alkyl groups in 72 resulted in the decreased rate of the cleavage processes; thus, 72 with carbethoxy or larger esters were generally stable to the hydrogenation conditions and could be used as homogenous catalysts. Complex 72 proved to be unreactive to CO, styrene, MeI, MeCOCH=CH₂, and Et₂S even under forcing conditions.⁵¹



A novel bis-cyclometalated rhodium complex, 77, has recently been synthesized by the reaction of 75 with $RhCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ in DMF and characterized by X-ray analysis. Interestingly, one of the ester carbonyl oxygens is coordinated to the metal (Rh–O = 2.26 Å). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed two different methoxy signals suggesting that an equilibrium exists in solution.⁵²



Also starting from 75, the Pt(II) dimer 78 has been constructed and characterized by a single-crystal X-ray study.⁵³ The relative "trans" orientation of 78 in solution was postulated on the basis of the large upfield shift (¹H NMR) of one of the diastereotopic methylene protons (δ 2.97, 3.75).



These complexes are not μ -bridged dinuclear species. as is commonly the case. Analogous complexes having cis geometry have been prepared from dipyridine derivatives; thus 79, when treated with Li_2PdCl_4 in anhydrous CH₃CN, gave the predicted intermediate 80, which was isolated and fully characterized. Subsequent treatment of 80 with anhydrous K_2CO_3/CH_3CN gave initially 81, which was structurally characterized confirming the formation of a single Pd-C bond. Ultimately, 81 was transformed quantitatively to 82 via addition of $AgNO_3/K_2CO_3$.^{54,55} Although added $AgNO_3$ was found to promote C-metalation of Pd complex 81, the corresponding Pt complex was observed to undergo predominantly reduction $[(Pt(II) \rightarrow Pt(0)]]$, when subjected to the same conditions. If excess Pd salts were used in this procedure, the unexpected dichlorodioxazole palladium⁵⁶ was isolated, even when the reagents and solvents were oxygen-free. This oxazole complex was subsequently shown to be due to traces of oxazole in commercial CH₃CN; normal distillation procedures were shown not to remove this impurity from the CH_3CN . In fact this oxazole complex may be an excellent reagent, since the complex is readily soluble in organic solvents and the oxazole is easily removed in vacuo.



The introduction of a carbonyl moiety between the pyridines (e.g., 85) increased the ligand "bite" to a more favorable disposition but simultaneously diminished the initial N-ligandophilicity via the increased electron withdrawal resulting from the carbonyl functionality. Despite this fact, the ketone 85 readily cyclometalated with $PdCl_2(C_6H_5CN)_2$ and NaOEt in anhydrous THF to give (15%) the 5.6.5 fused-ring complex 86.⁵⁷ Ligand flexibility was further augmented via insertion of an ethano-bridging unit to generate 87, which readily cyclometalated when treated with $PdCl_2$ in CH_3CN and K_2CO_3 , as base. The resulting complex 88 was characterized by X-ray diffraction and displayed remarkable



thermal stability.⁵⁷ Reaction of 87 with K_2PtCl_4 under diverse conditions resulted in the formation of only the mono-C-metalated complex. The cis-etheno-bridged ligand corresponding to 87 also formed only one palladium-carbon bond with PdCl₂.⁵⁸

Unsymmetrical bipyridine derivatives gave the anticipated monometalated complexes, e.g., 89, as did symmetrical 1,10-phenanthroline derivatives.⁵⁵ Even though these 1,10-phenanthrolines are potentially tetradentates; only monometalated complexes 83 have yet been isolated probably due to the rigid backbone of the heteroaromatic moiety precluding the ligand distortions necessary to accommodate tetracoordination to the core metal. The potential 6.5.6 ligand 90 has been prepared, but again only the monometalated 91 and 92 have been isolated:⁵⁷ 92 was characterized by X-ray diffraction. These complexes, which utilize a stabilized carbanion to C-coordinate, are among the most stable metallacycles yet reported. A combination of the steric bulk and the electronegativity of the β -dicarbonyl units help to stabilize the C-metal bond.



Hiraki et al. reported⁵⁹ the first example of an unactivated methylene carbon on an oligomethylene- α,ω -bis(*N*-heteroaryl) toward metalation by a transition metal. 1,3-Bis(2-pyridyl)propane upon treatment with Pd(OAc)₂ in CH₃CO₂H at 100 °C and subsequently LiCl gave the doubly chelated cyclopalladated complex 93, which was characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR and IR spectra.⁵⁹ Surprisingly, the reaction of 2-carbomethoxy-1,3-bis(2-pyridyl)propane with PdCl₂ gave only the dichloro adduct 94,⁵¹ rather than the expected cyclometalated product analogous to 93.



2. Benzyl C(sp³)-Donors

Bridged dinuclear o-methylenebenzonitrile palladium(II) complexes of type 95 underwent nucleophilic attack at the cyano carbon to give (90%) a six-membered metallacycle. Alcohols, thiols, and amines all added smoothly to 95 in acetone or neat at 35-50 °C to give 96.⁶⁰ Uguagliati et al.⁶¹ further exploited the lability of the N-coordinated metal in o-cyanobenzyl platinum(II) complex 95 toward nucleophilic attack. The cationic dimeric complex 95 was shown to form chelate amidino complexes of the type 97 upon reaction with both primary and secondary amines; kinetic studies were reported.⁶¹ The mononuclear precursor 98 underwent azide-chloride displacement to give 99, SCHEME II





which upon thermolysis gave 100 via 1,3-intramolecular cycloaddition of the azide moiety to the cyano group. 60,62 In general, palladium complexes, such as 95, relative to platinum, show easier addition of nucleophiles to the coordinated nitrile carbon and cleavage of the M–C bond by acidic thiols (Scheme II).

2-(Dimethylamino)toluene (101), upon treatment with Pd(OAc)₂ in AcOH at 50 °C, afforded (80%) of the cyclometalated complex 102a.^{63,64} A reinvestigation (using field desorbtion MS, NMR, and elemental analysis) of 102a indicated it to be a trinuclear species with structure 102b. The correct dimer 102a could be synthesized by the reaction of *cis*- or *trans*-bis(μ chloro)bis{[2-(dimethylamino)phenyl]methyl}dipalladium(II) (103) with silver acetate.⁶⁵ When 102 was treated with (C₆H₅)₃P or pyridine, the bridge was cleaved, whereas metathesis with LiCl regenerated the μ -chloro-bridged derivative 103.^{63,64} Although complex 104 does not possess a formal C-Pd bond, the observed downfield shift ($\Delta \delta = 0.34$) in the methyl resonance of *o*-toluidine has been interpreted in terms of a weak Pd···CH₃ interaction.⁶⁶



8-Methylquinoline has been cyclometalated and extensively studied by several groups, most notably those of Pfeffer⁶⁷ and Deeming.^{68–70} Palladium complex 105 with carbonyl-metalated anions (metal-halogen exchange) gave a new dinuclear species, 106, which was unstable in solution but stable in the solid state. X-ray analysis of 106 has shown that the Pd–Mo bond is very long (3.059 Å) and appears to be ionic, which may explain the rapid exchange with coordinating anions, such as Cl⁻ in THF.⁶⁷ Lithium chloride induced dissociation of the cyclometalated species when 105 [L = P(C₆H₅)₃] was subjected to CH₃CO₂H at 80 °C.⁷¹



An interesting palladacycle, 107, has been obtained by treatment of cyclopalladated dimer 108 at 0 °C with 1-methoxy-8-lithionaphthalene, which was generated by directed metalation of 1-methoxynaphthalene upon treatment with BuLi in *n*-hexane/diethyl ether. An X-ray diffraction study of 107 revealed a "roughly" planar molecule with an overall cis configuration relative to the Pd-C bonds [Pd-C_{alkyl} = 2.002 Å; Pd-C_{aryl} = 1.986 Å]. In addition, the geometry of 107 was maintained in solution.⁷² Complex 108 has been generated (64%) via C_{aryl}-C_{alkyl} ligand exchange of the cyclopalladated chloro-bridged dimer 109 in CH₃CO₂H/ CHCl₃ at 50 °C with 8-methylquinoline.⁷³ No such ligand exchange was observed without CH₃CO₂H, as cosolvent (Scheme III).⁷³

SCHEME IV



Numerous polynuclear 8-methylquinoline cyclopalladated complexes 111–113 having novel μ_3 -coordination modes for the anions $[(C_6H_5)_2PCHCO_2CH_2CH_3]^$ and $Mo(CO)_3Cp^-$ have been synthesized by Pfeffer et al.⁷⁴ by the sequences shown below. The bridges in these molecules have been shown to be three- or fiveelectron donors. The complete structural analyses of these complexes have been reported.⁷⁴ Complex 113 is of particular interest since this is the first example of a palladium complex to be characterized via X-ray crystallography that possesses a triply bridged chloride ion [Pd-Cl = 2.522 Å] and a molybdenum atom that is bonded to each palladium [Pd-Mo = 2.781–2.800 Å], which forms a distorted tetrahedron (Scheme IV).

Deeming and Rothwell have conducted an excellent study of 2-substituted 8-alkylquinolines,68 particularly the 2-carboxaldehyde N-methylimines 116, which have been previosly cyclometalated with Pd, Rh, and $Ir.^{69}$ In general, 116 (R = Me) metalated smoothly with Pd(O- $Ac)_2$ at the 8-methyl position to give complex 117, whereas the 8-ethyl and 8-isopropyl homologues cyclometalated exclusively at the C3-position affording 118. With Ir and Rh salts, imine 116 (R = Me) metalated primarily at the 3-position of the electron-deficient ring to give 119. Evidence was presented that 8-methyl cyclopalladation is electrophilic and must occur when this moiety is in the coordination plane of the Pd atom; thus it was hypothesized that the palladium is tricoordinate when the C-metal bond is formed (Scheme V).⁷⁰

Braunstein et al.⁷⁵ have also explored the complexation properties of 8-methylquinoline with palladium(II) using $Ph_2PCH_2CO_2Et$, as the other bidentate ligand, coordinating through the phosphorus and the oxygen atoms. This polyfunctional phosphorus moiety in 115 was cyclized under the influence of AgPF₆, BuLi, and NaH to generate chelates 120, 121, and 122, respectively. The nucleophilic character of the α -phosphino carbon was demonstrated by the reaction of 122 with CO_2 in THF under ambient conditions to generate the trapped carboxylate 123, which was established by X-ray diffraction.⁷⁵ Bubbling of Ar through a THF solution liberates CO_2 and regenerated 122. This is a unique, fully characterized example of reversible carbon dioxide fixation by a transition-metal complex occurring via C-C bond formation.

The bridging halogen in 108 has been substituted by the bidentate bridging ligand $Ph_2PCH_2CO_2Et$ either by direct substitution to generate 124 or by 125 to produce 126. It has been deduced from their IR spectra that the ethoxycarbonyl moiety does *not* participate in the bonding to the palladium (Scheme VI).⁷⁵

Sokolov et al. have synthesized an optically active palladacycle, 127, via the chiral organomercurial 128, which was prepared (68%) by treatment of the corresponding "benzylic" bromide with metallic mercury. The resultant (\pm)-128 was resolved by recrystallization of the diastereomeric camphorsulfonate salts. Optically pure (-)-128 reacted with Pd(0) reagents, e.g., [(C₆-H₅)₃P]₄Pd and Pd₂(dba)₃, in C₆H₆ to give optically active (+)-Pd complexes 127 and 129 in 79% and 81% yield, respectively; the configuration is the same. Whether the path to 127 and 129 occurred with retention or inversion of configuration was still under in-



SCHEME VI



vestigation; however, any asymmetric induction in the Pd complexes was thought to occur via the C-Pd-Hg-Br intermediate rather than the C-Hg-Pd-Br species. Dinuclear 129 was converted readily to 127 upon addition of (C₆H₅)₃P.⁷⁶ Application of this procedure to synthesize organic palladium and platinum derivatives via mercury(II) intermediates has been reviewed.⁷⁷



Suggs et al.⁷⁸ recently constructed a novel four-membered 1,3-dimetallacycle 130 by the deoxygenation of 8-quinolinyl phenyl ketone 131 in the presence of $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$. X-ray single-crystal analysis of 130 indicated that the 1,3-dirhodacyclobutane ring is flat to within 0.1°, and there was no Rh–Rh metal bond as indicated by the interatomic distance of 3.164 Å.

Laine et al.⁷⁹⁻⁸² and Murahashi et al.⁸³⁻⁸⁷ have done



considerable work involving palladium- and ruthenium-catalyzed transformation of primary, secondary, and tertiary amines, sulfides, and transalkylation reaction. The details of their work are outside the scope of this review but the proposed activation of the C-H bond by the formation of a cyclometalated intermediate must be noted. Thus, in a Pd-catalyzed reaction of tertiary amines, Pd(0) insertion into C-H bonds takes place by initial palladium N-coordination to generate 132 and then insertion into the adjacent C-H bond to afford 133, which is in equilibrium with iminium ion complex 134. A second tertiary amine can attack 134 to form 135, from which the various exchanged amines can be obtained as shown by the arrows in 135. Alternative mechanisms have also been suggested.⁷⁹⁻⁸⁷



3. Alkenyl and Carbonyl C(sp²)-Donors

Alkenyl carbons can be metalated by insertion into an existing cyclometalated complex. Thus, representative complexes 108, 109, and 136 can react with activated alkynes to form new and unusual metallacycles 137-141. The μ -bridges can be typically cleaved in



excellent yield by addition of pyridine or $(C_6H_5)_3P$ in a sealed tube with CH_2Cl_2 , toluene, or benzene, as solvent.⁸⁸ For example, $bis(\mu$ -chloro)bis(N,N-dimethylbenzylamine- C^2 , N)dipalladium(II) (109), upon treatment with styrene in benzene with catalytic AcOH at 50 °C, gave (18%) the unexpected alkenyl complex 142:⁸⁹ 143 was suggested to be an intermediate based on a kinetic and mechanistic study. Addition of alkyl metal perchlorates and low concentrations of perchloric acid dramatically accelerated the transformation of 109 to 142 as evidenced by the short reaction time (1 h).^{89,90} This procedure affords a novel entry into stilbene derivatives. Further, complex 109, upon treatment with isocyanides, smoothly afforded (80+%) 144 in less than an hour. Heating 144 in THF caused a Pd-C rearrangement to generate 145, which upon addition of a second equivalent of isocyanide gave monomer 146.



The yield of 145 was, however, diminished (<10%) as anticipated with increased steric bulk as with *tert*-butyl isocyanide.⁹¹ Isocyanides were readily inserted at 25 °C into the 2-pyridyl-bridged dinuclear complex 147,⁹³ prepared by reacting 2-bromopyridine with $[(C_6H_5)_3-P]_4Pd^0$ at 90 °C,^{94,95} to generate the mononuclear bis-(imine) 148.^{92,165} This C–C bond formation was proposed to also occur via initial Pd–CNR coordination, followed by Pd–C migration of the pyridyl moiety to afford the monoimine; repetition gave rise to the bis-(imine). Homologue 149 reacted with CO in THF at 25 °C to give (47%) the acyl complex 150.^{93,165} Acyl palladium complex 150, upon treatment with NaOMe, afforded (47%) methyl 2-pyridylacetate.



The treatment of imine complexes 145 or 146, with MeMgI or MeLi, gave (83%) the corresponding acyl imine 151, which can be readily hydrolyzed to the respective ketone.⁹¹ Complexes 145 or 146 were also reduced with LiAlH₄ to afford (70%) the expected o-[(dimethylamino)methyl][(o-tolylamino)methyl]-benzene (152, R = ${}^{\circ}$ Me).



Bridging Pd(II) dimers 108 and 109 have been used for the generation of mononuclear metallabicycles 153-156 having the same size rings by the reaction with lithiated (dimethylamino)naphthalene (Li-dmna), (dimethylamion)toluene (Li-dmat), and N,N-dimethylbenzylamine (Li-dmba).⁹⁶ Metallacycles 154, 156, and 157 underwent ring expansion on reaction with hexafluorobut-2-yne (hfb) to afford 158-160, respectively. The ester derivative 161 was also obtained by a similar ring expansion from 154. Complexes 157 and 162 were prepared by treatment of $MCl_2(SEt_2)_2$ (M = Pd or Pt) with Li-dmba or Li-dmat. Detailed synthetic aspects and complete structural analyses were reported (Scheme VII).⁹⁶

Crociani et al.⁹⁷ studied the protonation and methylation of 2-pyridyl Pd(II) complex 163 and investigated the nature of the resulting products mainly by ¹H, ³¹P, and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. The electrophilic attack with alkyl or aryl phosphines involved only the 2pyridyl nitrogen and without cleavage of the M–C σ bond. In a related kinetic study⁹⁸ dealing with similar complexes, an equilibrium (164 \approx 165) has been pro-

Newkome et al.

SCHEME VII



posed to generate the μ -pyridino complex 164 and $(C_6H_5)_3P$.



Wimmer et al.⁹⁹ have reported the isolation of the cyclometalated complexes 166 and 167 by heating for several hours a monodentate bipyridyl complex [Pt-(bpyMe)X₃], obtained from K₂PtCl₄ and N-methyl-2,2'-bipyridylium ion [bpyMe]⁺. Attempts to prepare the analogous palladium complexes from Pd(bpyMe)X₃ (X = Cl, Br) were unsuccessful; unreacted starting material was isolated.



In a similar study, Skapski et al.¹⁰⁰ suggested that the thermal rearrangement of the diaryl(2,2'-bipyridyl)-platinum(II) 168 occurs in a stepwise manner where the bipyridyl undergoes metalation at the 3-position with hydrogen migration and elimination of 2 equiv of arene. In the presence of free pyridine, the formation of the polynuclear complex 172 was interrupted to form a



dinuclear complex, 173, whose structure was confirmed by X-ray studies. A cis arrangement of ligating nitrogen atoms on each platinum was observed. Various steps of transformation from 168 to 172, which have been suggested¹⁰⁰ on the basis of kinetic measurements, are shown (Scheme VIII).

8-Formylquinoline 174a, upon treatment with $[(C_6-H_5)_3P]_3RhCl in CH_2Cl_2$, afforded (95%) the acyl Rh(III) hydride complex 175, which quantitatively gave 176, when subjected to AgBF₄ in toluene-CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C. Complex 176 is a remarkably stable, coordinatively unsaturated Rh(III) complex and is suggested as a model for Rh(III) intermediates that lead to hydroacylation of terminal alkenes.¹⁰¹ Complex 175 reluctantly decarbonylated (refluxing xylene; 4 h) to give (100%) quinoline, whereas the related coordinatively unsaturated rhodium complex 176 underwent hydroacylation of 1-octene under mild conditions (50 °C, 30



min) to generate (55%) 177. Complex 175, however, did not produce ketone 177 under similar conditions. This elegant conversion suggested that 176 can be used as a model for an intermediate in other hydroacylation processes. In addition to C-H bond insertion, facile C-C bond insertion occurred as well, whereby alkyne 174b with $[(C_6H_5)_3P]_3RhCl$ in CH_2Cl_2 at 25 °C quantitatively gave acyl rhodium(III) complex 175b. The analogous styryl ketone did not undergo aryl C-C insertion.¹⁰²

Similar Rh(III) complexes have been constructed¹⁰³ by the metal-directed cleavage of C-C bonds; thus 174c-e react with $[(C_2H_4)_2RhCl]_2$ in benzene at 25 °C to give (100%) an ethylene-free chlorine-bridge polymer; subsequent treatment with pyridine gave 179 (R = CH₂C₆H₅). C-C bond cleavage occurred prior to solubilization by pyridine (generating the four-coordinate intermediate 178), since treatment of 178 (R = -CH₂Ph) with a stoichiometric amount of Br₂ generated benzyl bromide. Single-crystal X-ray structural data for 179 (R = CH₂C₆H₅) established the presence of a very short Rh-CO bond (1.949 Å). Interestingly, all 8-quinolinyl ketones (i.e., 174) and $[(C_2H_4)_2RhCl]_2$ investigated by Suggs et al.^{103,161} have given C-C bondcleavage products.



In order to study the transition-state geometry for intramolecular carbone insertions into a C–H bond, 104 2-pyridyl formate (180) and 8-quinolinyl formate (181)

were used as the coordinating ligands to evaluate the effects of metal geometries. Product studies showed that $RhCl[P(C_6H_5)_3]_3$ reacted cleanly with both of 180 and 181 to generate ultimately 2-pyridinone (184) and 8-hydroxyquinoline (187), respectively. The intermediate acyloxy rhodium hydrides 182, 183, 185, and 186 could not be isolated.¹⁰⁴ With these ligands and reaction conditions, Suggs et al.¹⁰⁴ suggested that a triangular geometry was favored for metal insertion into a C-H bond.



2-Vinylpyridines (188) reacted with $\{Rh_2X_6[P(n-C_4H_9)_3]_4\}$ to afford (40–90%) the σ -bonded five-membered complex 189.¹⁰⁵ The metalation was unselective for either the *E* or *Z* isomer of β -substituted 2-vinylpyridines suggestive of a carbocationic intermediate, followed by deprotonation. Only the halide (Br or Cl) trans to carbon could be readily exchanged. Bromine reacted with 189a (R¹ = R² = H; X = Br) to give the unusual vinyl halide 189b (R¹ = Br, R² = H), instead of the normal Br₂ addition product. Octahedral Rh(III) metallacycle 189 (R¹ = R² = H) failed to react with NaOMe, CO, or stoichiometric HBr but did ungergo a retrocyclometalation upon treatment with excess HBr in refluxing benzene.¹⁰⁵

$$\underbrace{ISS}_{R} \xrightarrow{R^{1} Rh_{2}X_{6}(PBu_{3})_{4}}_{R^{2}} (nBu_{3}P_{w_{Rh}} \xrightarrow{R^{1}}_{P(nBu_{3})_{3}} \xrightarrow{a(R^{1} = R^{2} = H)}_{b(R^{1} = Br, R^{2} = H)}$$

Activation of aldehydic C–H bonds to oxidative addition has also been conducted¹⁰⁶ via rhodium-catalyzed hydroacylation of the pyridylaldimines **190** to generate (>90%) of the yellow, air-stable complexes **191**. Subsequently, the rhodium(III) iminoacyl hydride (**191a**) was easily reduced with $(CH_3)_2Cd$ to give the Rh(I) iminoacyl complex **192**, which with benzoyl chloride afforded RhCOCl[(C₆H₅)₃P]₂ and imine **193** [hydrolysis yielded (72%) benzophenone].¹⁰⁷ Thus, changes in rhodium's oxidation state can drastically alter the chemical reactivity of the same functional group.





oline-8-carbaldehyde and 2-(dimethylamino)benzaldehyde. These chloro-bridged dimers, which are related to 178, were cleaved with neutral P and N ligands to give the corresponding monomeric complexes. It was proposed that the juxtaposed metal atom assists in making the aldehydic hydrogen more acidic through carbonyl-metal coordination.¹⁰⁸



Uson et al.¹⁰⁹ described the synthesis of novel imidoyl-bridged Pd complexes 196, which were generated from a dinuclear chloro-bridged polymer, prepared by insertion of isocyanides into Pd-C₆F₅ bonds as in the reaction of trans-[Pd(C₆F₅)₂(CNR)₂] with {PdCl₂[NC-(C₆H₅)]₂}. Complex 196 can be readily transformed back to the red polymeric imidoyl complex cis-[{Pd₂(μ -Cl)₂-[μ -C(C₆H₅)=N(p-tol)]₂]_n] and some (ca. 10%) of N,-N'-di-p-tolylbis(pentafluorophenyl)-1,2-ethanediimine. The R group has been varied (R = t-Bu, p-tolyl, and C₆H₁₁) to examine substituent effects on the insertion process as well as product stability. The insertion of p-tolNC in benzene solvent was found to be faster than that of CH₃NC; t-BuNC and C₆H₁₁NC did not insert under similar conditions.¹⁰⁹

Trifluoroacetonitrile was reduced with a triosmium cluster, $[Os_3H_2(CO)_{10}]$, in a sealed tube with molecular hydrogen to generate 197 and 198 in 14 and 69% yields, respectively.^{110,111} The X-ray data for 198 support the edge-bridged hydride, since one longer (2.918 Å) Os–Os bond and appropriate bond angles are found.¹¹¹ Each complex was further reduced with molecular hydrogen at 49 atm and 140 °C giving rise to a series of new triclinic osmium clusters. A mechanistic scheme was proposed for the stepwise reductive process.^{110,111}



Mono-¹¹² and diazines^{112,113} have been reacted with $[Os_3(CO)_{10}(Q)_2]$ (Q = cyclooctene or NCCH₃) to give clusters of the type $[Os_3H(CO)_{10}(\mu-L)]$ (199–203), where L was a 2-metalated N-bonded electron-deficient heterocycle. Lewis et al.¹¹² constructed these triosmium clusters containing the ortho-metalated N-heterocycle by treatment of substituted pyridines with $[Os_3(C O_{10}(NCCH_3)_2$ in benzene, as solvent. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 199b showed the bridging ligand to be in a locked configuration (NMR time scale) with no flexional bonding between osmium centers.¹¹³ 2,2'-Bipyridine on treatment with $[Os_3(CO)_{12}]$ gave the related red complex 204, which contained both a chelated bridging N,C⁶-metalated bipyridine moiety as well as N,N'-chelation.¹¹³ A related pentanuclear osmium carbido species, $[Os_5C(CO)_{14}H(NC_5H_4)]$, obtained by the pyrolysis of $[Os_3(CO)_{11}(NC_5H_5)]$, has been eluci-dated by X-ray analysis.¹¹⁴ Even though several other known clusters were also isolated, the mechanism by which these compounds were formed is conjecture.¹¹⁴



Balch et al.¹¹⁵ recently reported the insertion of ruthenium into a pyrrole C–N bond of an N,N'-vinylbridged porphyrin to create 205, which is best formulated as a complex of Ru(II) with the macrocyclic ligand present as a C,N-dianion possessing both carbanionic and amide characteristics. The structure and composition of 205 have been fully elucidated by X-ray diffraction studies.¹¹⁵ The rupturing of a pyrrole ring in a N,N-bridged porphyrin is novel and offers new avenues to the chemistry of macrocycles.



The olefinic Pt(II) complexes 206 have been aminated with loss of HCl to form the unusual four-membered platinacycles 207.¹¹⁶ Subsequent treatment of 207a or 207b with CO in benzene under very mild conditions generated (100%) the ring-expanded acyl complex 208.¹¹⁶ It was observed that the 207a,b were more reactive than the analogous saturated platinum(II) complexes 207c,d; also open-chained complexes were unreactive under the mild reaction conditions. X-ray structural analyses of 207a and 207d have recently been reported.¹¹⁷



2-(1-Pyrrolyl)pyridine with Li₂PdCl₄ and {Rh₂Cl₆[P-(C₄H₉)₃]₄}, afforded five-membered metallacycles **209** and **210**, respectively, in which the diheterocyclic moiety is coordinated by the *N*-pyridine and C²-pyrrole atoms. The chloro bridges in **209** readily undergo cleavage with pyridine, (C₄H₉)₃P, and acetylacetone.¹¹⁸ Metathesis of **210** (X = Cl) with excess LiI gave the mixed-halogen complex **211**. From the IR and NMR data,¹¹⁸ the Cl donor is situated trans to the carbon as rationalized by the aryl trans effect; the phosphorus donors are mutually trans juxtaposed.



Complex 212, possessing P-, N-, and C²-donors as well as nonfused six- and four-membered rings, has been prepared by treatment of tri-1-pyrazolylmethane (HCpz₃) with Pt(CH₃)₂(COD) to form Pt(CH₃)₂(HCpz₃), which on heating in pyridine, cyclometalated (presumably with the loss of methane) the pyrazole ring at C5 to afford the crystalline complex 213. Subsequently 213 with (C₆H₅)₃P cleaved the Pt-N bond to produce 214, which on slow heating gave complex 212 without visible decomposition to platinum(0). Complexes 212-214 were the first examples of metalated tri-1-pyrazolylmethane;^{119,120} a single-crystal X-ray structure of 213 has also been reported.¹²⁰



A C-bonded crystalline, red diamagnetic tris(2,2'bipyridine)iridium(III) complex, **215**, has been synthesized and shown by X-ray analysis that the iridium was coordinated to five nitrogens and one carbon of the three bipyridine ligands.¹²¹ Serpone et al.¹²² reported earlier in 1981 the unexpected C3 metalation to one of the bipyridine moieties. Such examples offer a novel extension to the classical picture researchers have of bipyridine as exclusively a N,N'-donor.



4. Thienyl and Ferrocenyl C(sp²)-Donors

2-(2-Thienyl)- and 2-(3-thienyl)pyridines (216) have been cyclometalated with Ir(III),¹²³ Pd(II), Rh(III), and Ru(II) salts.¹²⁴ The dinuclear complexes 217a-f were formed under mild to moderate conditions, ranging from refluxing MeOH, glyme, or xylene. The corresponding mononuclear species were readily formed when 217 were subjected to either pyridine or $(C_4H_9)_3P$; the halide donor is trans to the thiophene C ligand at least for the square-planar complexes. Ligand 216 underwent cyclometalation in a manner analogous to that of 2-phenylpyridine, except that 216b did not metalate with Ru(II); complexation studies are yet to be conducted with Ir(III).



N-(3-thienyl)pyrazole similarly formed the cyclopalladated complex 218, in which palladation occurred primarily at the thiophene 2-position (220); detectable amounts of corresponding 4-substitution (221) were also observed (ratio 3:1). These data are contrary to lithiation results which have been reported to give exclusively 2-metalation. Cyclometalated complex 219 was also prepared by using {Rh₂Cl₆[P(C₄H₉)₃]₄}; as usual, the bridge was cleaved with pyridine or (C₄H₉)₃P.¹²⁵



2-Acetvlthiophene acetvlhvdrazone 222a has been smoothly cyclopalladated with Li₂PdCl₄ under mild conditions (25 °C) to afford (70%) 223a.¹²⁶ Though C²-metalation on thiophene has been preferred over 4-metalation for 3-directing substituents, facile C^{3} metalation occurred when the directing functionality occupies the 2-position. Activation of the C³-position was rationalized on the basis of initial N,O-chelation to generate the stabilized intermediate for favorable metalation. In addition, the IR studies suggested that the amide was O-coordinated without deprotonation. Hydrazone derivatives of 3-acetylthiophene (222b,c) formed stable C,N chelates 223b and 223c, similar to 223a; however, exclusive C²-metalation occurred upon treatment of 222b,c with Li₂PdCl₄ in protic solvent and no C⁴-metalation was observed.¹²⁷



2-Pyridylhydrazone 224a cyclopalladated in an analogous fashion to 222a to give complex 225a, in which pyridine acted as the N-donor.¹²⁸ In contrast, imine 224b, upon treatment with $PdCl_2(C_6H_5CN)_2$ in dry benzene, afforded (91%) 225b.¹²⁹ Originally C⁴-metalation was proposed;¹²⁹ however, the lack of concrete spectroscopic evidence (low solubility prevented ¹H NMR analysis) and the X-ray crystal data leave this assignment tenuous at best. C²-Metalation of thiophene appears to be more reasonable based on the results obtained for structurally related 223 and 225a.¹²⁹



Chiral (+)-[(dimethylamino)ethyl]ferrocene 226a was cyclopalladated with Na₂PdCl₄ in MeOH to give (84%) a mixture of diastereomers predominantly $(-)-227^{130}$ as well as (+)-228. Dimers of (-)-227 and (+)-228 having the same absolute configuration at the chiral center and opposite absolute configuration of the chiral plane were initially separated by hand-picking single crystals and then further purified via recrystallization from $C_6H_6/$ C₆H₁₄. Thus, optically pure samples (-)-227 ($[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ -427.7°) and (+)-228 ($[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ +475.4°) were obtained and characterized by NMR and elemental analysis. Organomercury reagents, e.g., (+)-226b, prepared from (+)-226a via metalation with N-BuLi and subsequent Hg-Li exchange, had been utilized to prepare pure diastereomers of the [(dimethylamino)ethyl]ferrocene Pd derivatives 227 and 228.131,159 Stereoselectivity for direct palladation of 226a was found to be 70%, whereas ortho lithiation gave 92%.¹³¹ In addition, the tetranuclear species 227 or 228 gave the dinuclear complex 229 upon treatment with sodium acetylacetonate [Na(acac)].^{130,131} Under similar conditions, the enantiomeric deuterated derivative 230a was cyclopalladated to afford 231a; however, only 1% asymmetric induction was observed.¹³²



The difference in stereoselectivity with respect to palladation was attributed to the steric preference of the methyl group in **226a** to remain exo to the ferrocenyl moiety in the transition state.¹³² Prochiral [(dimethylamino)methyl]ferrocene (**230b**) has been cyclopalladated with Na₂PdCl₄ in the presence of chiral carboxylate salts; planar chirality was successfully induced, suggesting that the carboxylate was intimately associated with the transition state during cyclometalation.^{133,134} Thus, optically active dimer **231b** was induced in high yield when *N*-acyl- α -amino acids were employed as the carboxylate source. In addition, the extent of asymmetric induction was influenced by changes in pH, whereas no dependence was observed on the nature of the cation present.¹³³



Chiral resolving agents have been successfully employed for the separation of diastereomers. Cleavage of the μ -chloro-bridged cyclopalladated ferrocene complex 231b was conducted by using the potassium salt of (S)-proline. The resulting diastereomeric mixture 232 was subsequently resolved by selective crystallization to yield analytically pure samples of (-)-232 and (+)-232.¹³⁵ It was believed that optically pure complexes so obtained may be useful intermediates for the synthesis of optically active ferrocenes.

The high reactivity of the C-Pd bond in 231b has been utilized^{134,158} for the enantioselective synthesis of organic molecules. First, the asymmetric induction of a new chiral center by a chiral plane has been observed with the enantioselective synthesis of glycerides, e.g., in the presence of a prochiral diol 233, carbonylation of 231b generated a new chiral center in the resultant monoester 234, which after tritylation and alkaline hydrolysis gave the chiral glycerine 235. Second, with ferrocene being regarded as a latent form of cyclopentane, some analogous of prostaglandins based on the ferrocene framework have been synthesized.¹³⁴



The [3]ferrocenophane 236 was cyclopalladated in the presence of the sodium salt of *N*-acetyl-D(or L)-leucine to afford metallacycle 237, which has both a center and a plane of chirality.¹³⁶ When subjected to LiAlH₄ in THF, palladacyclic ferrocenophane 237 regenerated (73%) ligand 236.



Double cyclopalladation has been achieved on each of the cyclopentadienyl rings of the ferrocene complex to yield a trinuclear species. Thus, treatment of 238 with Li₂PdCl₄/NaOAc in MeOH afforded (93% overall) both *dl*-239 and *meso*-240.¹³⁷ O-Coordination of the amide functionality was indicated by the IR data; however, introduction of a good Lewis base [(C₆H₅)₃P] readily displaced oxygen from the coordination sphere in which the phosphorus is now trans to the N-donor.¹³⁷



Cyclopalladated ferrocene 231b reacted with I_2 to afford the iodoferrocene 241a.¹³³ Complex 231b also reacted with CO in MeOH to afford the ferrocenyl amino ester 241b,^{133,138} as well as underwent the Heck reaction with a variety of alkenyl reagents to give C-H insertion products (e.g., 241c) in variable yields.^{133,138-140,158} Replacement of the dimethylamino moiety with a 2-pyridyl group was accomplished, and the resultant complexes have been demonstrated to be less reactive with olefins.¹⁴⁰ Treatment of 231b with (C₆H₆)₂PLi occurred to generate (50%) ferrocenylphosphine 241d via a proposed ferrocenyl palladium phosphide intermediate which undergoes decomposition without isolation.¹⁴¹



2-Pyridylferrocene 242 upon treatment with Li₂PdCl₄ in dioxane or MeOH gave the non-palladated dichlorobis(2-pyridylferrocene)palladium(II) complex (244). The reaction of 244 with $P(C_6H_5)_3$ gave quantitative conversion to 245 indicative of a simple ligand-ligand exchange. In contrast, when 242 was subjected to slightly different conditions (Li₂PdCl₄/Na-OAc/MeOH), the bridged intramolecularly ortho-palladated dimer 243 was isolated;¹⁴² thus, added acetate has been again shown to promote cyclometalation.²⁴ Treatment of 243 with thallium(I) acetylacetonate, LiAlD₄, and $P(C_6H_5)_3$ generated 246, 247, and 248, respectively. Complex 248 was readily carbonylated in the presence of CO/EtOH to generate the noncyclized ethyl ester. Furthermore, 248 gave the brominated and butylated derivatives (249) when treated with Br_2 and butyllithium, respectively (Scheme IX).¹⁴²

SCHEME IX



The related ruthenocene 250^{143} and $[\pi$ -((dimethylamino)methyl)cyclopentadienyl](tetraphenylcyclobutadiene)cobalt(I) (251)¹⁴⁴ have been cyclopalladated, under conditions analogous to those described for 226,¹³⁰ to afford 252 and 253, respectively; treatment of cyclopalladated complexes with LiAlH₄ readily regenerated the starting materials. In both cases, acetate ion was essential to the formation of the cyclometalated species. In addition, both 252 and 253, when treated with (C₆H₅)₃P or Tl(acac), underwent typical μ -bridge



fragmentation. In contrast to other metallacycles, the ruthenocene 252 failed to react with Br_2 or BuLi under various conditions but when subjected to Heck reaction conditions gave an usually high yield (ca. 89–94%) of 254. Further, even though dimer 252 failed to react with CO in EtOH, the monomeric palladacyclic ruthenocene 256 reacted slowly with CO to afford amino ester 257.¹⁴³

The cyclometalated cobalt sandwich 253 gave the vinyl-substituted derivatives 255 upon addition of activated olefins. The greatest yield (78%) for C-H insertion into 253 occurred when ethene was used as the olefin source; interestingly, traces (1.5%) of a disubstituted ethylene were isolated. Again dimer 253 did not react with CO in ethanol; whereas, under moderate (100 °C) conditions monomer 258 gave (42%) the noncyclic amino ester 259.¹⁴⁴



B. π -Bonded Complexes

A series of Pt(II) complexes derived from monolefins was synthesized in order to investigate the bonding characteristics of the olefin-Pt π -bond. The π -complex dichloro(o-vinyl-N,N-dimethylaniline)platinum(II) (260a), prepared from o-vinyl-N,N-dimethylaniline (261) and Zeise's salt, was recrystallized (44%) from CHCl₃/MeOH.¹⁴⁵ o-Vinyl-N,N-diphenyl-, o-(1methylvinyl)-N,N-dibenzyl-, and o-(1-methylvinyl)-N,N-dimethylanilines formed similar monolefin π -complexes upon treatment with Zeise's salt. The use of Zeise's salt generally gave better yields over the use of PtCl₂. Dichloro[o-(1-methylvinyl)-N,N-dimethylaniline]platinum(II) (260b) was subjected to chloride ligand exchange (L = Br⁻, I⁻, NCO⁻, SCN⁻, and N₃⁻) so that changes in allylic coupling, as a factor of the ligand (trans)-olefin relationships, could be evaluated. The ¹⁹⁵Pt-¹H NMR coupling constant data within this series were determined.¹⁴⁵



Aliphatic polydentates, such as dimethyl(2,2-dimethylbut-3-enyl)amine (2b), have received considerable attention because of their enhanced tendency to chelate as well as the increased solubility of the resultant complex in organic solvents. In addition, this type of ligand is potentially useful as sensitive NMR probes for delving into the nature of metal-olefin coordination, since restricted confirmation mobility should result in magnetic nonequivalent of the methyl groups. Accordingly, the π -complex 3b was easily obtained via treatment of 2b with PdCl₂(C₆H₅CN)₂ in CH₂Cl₂. The related dibromo and diiodo complexes were subsequently prepared via metathesis of 3b with LiBr or NaI in acetone.¹⁴⁶

It has been suggested that dichloro[dimethyl(4methoxy-2,2-dimethylbut-3-enyl)amine]palladium(II) (262) is a key intermediate in the pathway leading to 4c, when 3b is treated with K_2CO_3 or Et_3N in MeOH. To test this hypothesis, McCrindle¹⁴⁷ et al. conducted a study in which authentic samples of 3b were subjected to the standard reaction conditions and the product evolution was monitored by NMR. Although vinyl ether chelate 262 was not detected, there was indirect evidence to support its existence as a transient precursor to 4c. For NMR comparative purposes, 262 was prepared via an alternate synthetic route from 263 and fully characterized by X-ray crystallography.¹⁴⁷ A related η^2 -vinyl alcohol complex 264, also believed to be involved in the sequence leading to 4c, has been synthesized via treatment of 4c with HCl in acetone and subsequently characterized by X-ray crystallography.¹⁴⁸



 π -Bonded complexes can serve as useful intermediates in the syntheses of heterocycles via metal-catalyzed intramolecular functionalization. In order to investigate possible effects of the metal atom on ligand rearrangements, Aresta and De Fazio prepared (85%) the yellow dimer **265**¹⁴⁹ by treatment of 2-allylaniline (**266**) with [Rh(C₂H₄)₂Cl]₂ in C₆H₆ or C₆H₅Me; ethylene was evolved.



Similarly, Hegedus et al. have investigated the Pd-(II)-assisted N-alkylation of indoles.¹⁵⁰ Thus, treatment of (2,4-pentadienyl)aniline (267) with $PdCl_2(MeCN)_2$ in THF afforded (95%) the stable allyl-2,3-dihydroindole palladium complex 268; none of the desired tricyclic indole was detected due to the stability of the complex.¹⁵⁰



 π -Bonded rhodium dimer 265, on treatment with 2-allylaniline in CH₂Cl₂, isomerized the olefin and generated a [Rh(L)₂Cl]₂ in 4% yield as well as the isomeric trans-2-propenylaniline (271), whereas, when this dimer [RhL₂Cl]₂ was refluxed in C₆H₆, rhodium was lost to give 2-methylindoline 272. Similarly, treatment of the π -allyl complex 268 with diphos, which normally promotes allylic amination in systems of this type, regenerated the starting ligand 267.¹⁵⁰ In attempts to transform 268 to tricyclic amine, complex 268 when heated with Et₃N gave (51%) only the 2-(1-propenyl)indole (273), whereas with TMEDA a mixture of 273 and 2-allylindole was produced. Complex 268, when subjected to H₂, afforded (57%) the reduced derivative 274.

Jullien et al. have characterized the square-planar, strain-free π -complex 269, which was prepared by treatment of 1-allyloctahydrophenazine (270) with Zeise's salt.¹⁵¹



An amino-bridged dimer, 275, was constructed by deprotonation of the yellow crystalline complex 276, using an anion-exchange resin in the hydroxide form.¹⁵² Complex 276 was prepared (80%) from *o*-isopropenyl-*N*-methylaniline and PtCl₂ in CHCl₃.¹⁵² The chloro ligands in 276 could be exchanged with acetylacetone to generate (87%) 277 in the presence of AgBF₄. The X-ray structure of 275 offered interesting insight into the steric factors associated with a fused fourmembered ring; one conclusion is that in square-planar Pt(II) complexes, the amido nitrogens exert a relatively strong trans effect as suggested by the Pt–Cl bond length [2.318 (9) Å].¹⁵²



 π -Complex 278, which exists in solution as an equilibrium mixture of η^3 - and η^1 -butenyl forms, was transformed by isocyanate insertion into the C-metal bond to give imide 279. π -Bonding to the γ,δ -olefin rather than the α,β -olefin is favored based on molecular models but not proven. A Hammett correlation for the reactions of 4-substituted aryl isocyanates exhibited a linear relationship ($\rho = +1.13$) in which electron-withdrawing substituents facilitated the insertion process. A four-centered transition state for the insertion process was proposed and is consistent with the kinetic data.¹⁵³



III. Structural Analyses

During this last decade, NMR and X-ray spectral information have become the major experimental techniques to study organometallics. These studies provide necessary structural details of these complexes so that the intrinsic nature of the ligand-metal bond can be truly understood. IR was the most important tool during previous decades because it was readily accessible and could give results in both solution and solid state. Because of the increased availability of Fourier transform instrumentation, the often low solubility of these organometallics is no longer a serious problem, especially for NMR studies. Thus, NMR is rapidly becoming the method of choise for structural characterizations since it gives more specific connectivity information than IR and crystallization is not necessary. Even with FT NMR, X-ray crystal analysis is still the most unambiguous technique for structural characterization and the wealth of other information gained makes it the most desirable source of data.

A. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies

The NMR discussion will be confined to data which deal directly with the chelate ring. Table I lists representative data for complexes, which possess a sp³ σ -C-donor. Few alkenyl σ -C-donors are compiled since proton data are still very limited. ¹³C NMR data would be most informative, but there seems to be a dearth of accurate assignments for chelate rings. In Table I only pertinent NMR data associated with the chelate ring was considered and compiled. In a N,C-chelate ring the α -position is the carbon *directly* attached to the metal followed by β and γ . Other key information concerning the chelate ring are given.

Chemical shift differences were most helpful in going from an acyclic ligand to the cyclic complex. These comparative data are easily applied when an unsaturated ligand was cyclometalated to form a saturated metallacycle, e.g., 16. Upon cyclometalation, the loss or gain of spin-spin coupling interactions can be characteristic and most informative; e.g., in 75 the α methylene doublet is transformed to a singlet, or in 63 the appearance of a new doublet due to the Pt-H coupling. The appearance of new signals (chemical shift) upon cyclometalation are helpful as in pinacolone oxime 19b, where a new $Pd-CH_2$ signal arises. Although peak assignments of hydrogens bound directly to chelate ring are desired, these signals are often obscured, missing due to substitution or highly coupled making individual assignments difficult. Periodically useful data are gained from observation of characteristic shifts in hydrogen-containing groups not directly bonded to the metal. Thus, when a dialkylamino moiety is utilized as the N-donor, a shift in its signal to lower field can be indicative of N-coordination. Likewise, pyridine proton resonances, especially at H6, are often useful because of the characteristic downfield shifts caused by inductive electron density loss and nonbonded interactions.

The magnitude of coupling constants has been used to study trans effects of O vs. S atom.¹⁵⁴ The Pt-olefinic proton coupling constant was shown to be sensitive to the π -bonding ability of the trans ligand; thus the coupling constant was used to assess the trans influence of diverse ligands (N₃⁻ to I⁻).¹⁴³ Variable-temperature (VT) NMR studies have been conducted on **3b**, **63**, and **99**, as well as other complexes to assess various conformational characteristics of the chelate ring.

The NMR studies of other nuclei are becoming more popular but inherent problems must be overcome. ¹³C NMR has great potential especially for exploring the nature of C-metal and C-C-metallacyclic interactions. Examination of Table I reveals that some researchers are now routinely including ¹³C in their studies. As yet, there appears to be no cohesive theoretical or empirical rules to predict the effect(s) of C-metal coordination or chelate ring formation on the ^{13}C chemical shift. ^{13}C NMR may be most useful in this aspect of a study since the metallacycles usually contain at least three carbons of varying degrees of hybridization, while the accessible proton data are not very sensitive to minor structural changes. ¹⁰³Rh NMR was included¹⁵⁵ since the ¹⁰³Rh nucleus in a chelate ring was found to resonate at higher field as the σ -donor strength of the ligands increased. Other precious metals can be studied via NMR, platinum being one with sufficiently small line width but little or no comparative data have yet appeared.

B. Infrared Spectrophotometric Studies

Infrared (IR) spectroscopy has become more useful for the confirmation of suspected functionality than for detailed structural characterization. Thus, standard signals in the regions from 3500 to 2900, 2000 to 1200, 1000 to 600, and 400 to 100 cm⁻¹ are usually mentioned in support of a specific moiety. There are, however, several special new IR techniques, which enhance the usefulness of this tool.

ay Data of the Cyclometalated Complexes
X-rs
g
, ai
H
NMR,
Comparative
Selected
Table I.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	cted Coı	mparative	NMR, IR, and	X-ray Data of the	Cyclometalate	ed Complexes					Bidd d 4 3 3 2 jun 2 2 bus			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				NMR ^a			IR			×	-rav ^c			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	nu (so	cleus lv) ^b	σ	β	٨	other	(M-halogen), cm ⁻¹	M-halogen	Bd1 [1]	Bd2 [2]	Bd3 [3]	Bd4 [4]	Bd5 [5]	ref
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$														
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$) Hı	(V)	6.01	1.15, 1.86 (CMe)	2.35, 3.05	2.82, 2.93 (NMe)	304, 323, 331 309, 330	2.296 (3)	2.124 (10)	1.51 (1)	1.52 (2)	1.49 (2)	2.072 (8)	146 148
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$) H.	â	6.15	1.16,	2.42, 3.35	2.99,	1.91, 199		[84.1 (4)]	[107.3 (7)]	[109.3 (9)]	[112.9 (9)]	[(1)0.0[1]]	146
III 449 1130 (Ger 2.6, 3.47 22.12 (Mec) 2.236 (1) 1130 (3) 1140 (4) 1230 (5) 1140 (4) 1200 (50) 1200 (50) 1200 (50) 1200 (50) 1200 (50) 1200 (50) 1200 (50) 1200 (50) 1140 (4) 1200 (50) 1140 (4) 1200 (50) 1140 (4) 1200 (50) 1140 (50) 1120 (50) 1120 (50) 1120 (50) 1120 (50) 1120 (50) 1100 (50) 1120 (50) 1140 (50) 1140 (50) 1100 (50) 1120 (50) 1140 (50) 1120 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50) 1100 (50)<) H,	(Y)	6.05	1.11, 1.11, 1.11,	2.16, 3.12	3.15 (NMe) 2.87,	164							146
H 4.50 1.236 (Mer 2.26 (Mer 2.27 (Mer 309 , 330 $2.326(13)$ $1131(13)$ $1131(13)$ $1132(2)$ $1142(2)$ <) Hr	(H	4.49	1.18, 1.18,	2.56, 3.47	3.12 (NMe) 2.52,		2.298 (1)	2.135 (3)	1.507 (4)	1.529 (4)	1.497 (4)	2.082 (2)	147
A) 3.13 0.93, 1.51 (2.6) 2.77 (2.6) 2.77 (2.6) 2.72 (2.6) 2.72 (2.6) 2.72 (2.6) 2.72 (2.6) 2.72 (2.6) 2.72 (2.6) 2.72 (2.6) 2.72 (2.6) 2.22 (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) <td>) H,</td> <td>(H</td> <td>4.59</td> <td>2.58 (CMe) 1.20, 1.80 (CMe)</td> <td>2.26, 3.68</td> <td>2.97 (NMe) 2.72, 2.99 (NMe)</td> <td>309, 330</td> <td>2.321(1) 2.296(3) 2.342(3)</td> <td>$\begin{bmatrix} 83.6 (1) \\ 2.268 (11) \\ [92.9 (3)] \end{bmatrix}$</td> <td>$\begin{bmatrix} 108.3 (2) \\ 1.51 (1) \\ 107.3 (7) \end{bmatrix}$</td> <td>$\begin{bmatrix} 108.5 (3) \\ 1.52 (2) \\ [109.3 (9)] \end{bmatrix}$</td> <td>$\begin{bmatrix} 112.9 (2) \\ 1.49 (2) \\ 1112.9 (9) \end{bmatrix}$</td> <td>$\begin{bmatrix} 109.9 (2) \\ 2.076 (8) \\ 110.0 (7) \end{bmatrix}$</td> <td>148</td>) H,	(H	4.59	2.58 (CMe) 1.20, 1.80 (CMe)	2.26, 3.68	2.97 (NMe) 2.72, 2.99 (NMe)	309, 330	2.321(1) 2.296(3) 2.342(3)	$\begin{bmatrix} 83.6 (1) \\ 2.268 (11) \\ [92.9 (3)] \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 108.3 (2) \\ 1.51 (1) \\ 107.3 (7) \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 108.5 (3) \\ 1.52 (2) \\ [109.3 (9)] \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 112.9 (2) \\ 1.49 (2) \\ 1112.9 (9) \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 109.9 (2) \\ 2.076 (8) \\ 110.0 (7) \end{bmatrix}$	148
	Ŧ	(A)	3.13	0.98,	2.11, 2.58	2.57,								155
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}}$	(Y)	86.9	1.81 (UMe) 42.3	70.6	52.4, 52.4,								
	H ¹) H ¹	ų (V	3.62	1.10,	1.74, 2.27	52.4 (NMe) 652.4 (Rh) 2.52,								155
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{e}_1}$	ට	73.0	1.74 (UMe) 42.1	72.6	2.62 (NMe) 53.5, 5.4 5 (NMe)								
	H.	(¥)	3.14	0.99,	1.75, 2.26	24.5 (NMC) 1696.3 (Rh) 2.51, 2.61, (NMC)								155
h 2005.1(Rin) A) $1.6-2.7(m)$ $1.6-2.7(m)$ $2.70, 2.72$ (NMe) A) $2.22(d)$, 2.77 (NMe) A) 3.56 $2.22(d)$, 2.77 (NMe) (A) 3.56 $2.12(d)$, 2.77 (NMe) (A) 3.56 $2.12(d)$, 2.55 , $2.335(1)$ $2.036(4)$ $1.583(7)$ $1.571(7)$ $1.512(6)$ $2.076(4)$ (A) $2.06(d)$, 2.71 (NMe) (A) $2.06(d)$, 2.71 (NMe) (B) 3.66 1.01 , 2.56 , $2.33, 3.65$ 2.62 , $1.583(7)$ $1.571(7)$ $1.512(6)$ $2.076(4)$ (B) 3.66 1.01 , $2.33, 3.65$ 2.62 , $1.642(C=0)$ (H) 3.64 1.01 , $2.33, 3.65$ 2.62 , $1.642(C=0)$ (B) 3.66 1.01 , $2.18, 3.72$ 2.68 , $1.642(C=0)$ (B) 3.66 1.01 , $2.18, 3.72$ 2.68 , $1.640(C=0)$ (B) 3.66 1.01 , $2.18, 3.72$ 2.68 , $1.640(C=0)$ (B) 3.06 1.01 , $2.18, 3.72$ 2.68 , $1.640(C=0)$ (B) 3.06 1.01 , $2.18, 3.72$ 2.68 , $1.640(C=0)$	D _{E1}	(E)	72.4	1.82 (CMe) 41.7	73.0	2.04 (NMe) 53.7, 54.6 (NMe)								
A) 1.6-2.7 (m) 1.6-2.7 (m) 2.70, 2.72 (NMe) A) 1.6-2.7 (m) 1.6-2.7 (m) 2.70, 2.72 (NMe) A) 3.56 2.22 (d), 2.77 (NMe) 2.335 (1) 2.036 (4) 1.512 (6) 2.076 (4) A) 3.56 2.12 (d), 2.55, 2.335 (1) 2.036 (4) 1.512 (6) 2.076 (4) A) 3.56 2.12 (d), 2.55, 2.335 (1) 2.036 (4) 1.512 (6) 2.076 (4) A) 3.56 2.12 (d), 2.55, 2.335 (1) 2.036 (4) 1.512 (6) 2.076 (4) (A) 3.66 2.12 (Me) 2.78 (NMe) 1642 (C=O) 2.335 (1) 1.07.3(3)] [1107.3(3)] [1107.3(3)] [1107.3(3)] [108.8(3)] (B) 3.66 2.38 (Me) 9.40 (C=O) 9.298 (Me) 9.40 (C=O) 9.331 (C=O) 9.3	Real	q				2095.1(Rh)								
(A) $2.22 (d), 2.77 (NMe)$ $2.77 (NMe)$ $2.22 (d), 2.56 (d)$ $2.77 (NMe)$ $2.68 (d)$ $2.77 (NMe)$ $2.68 (d)$ $2.77 (N)$ $1.571 (7)$ $1.571 (7)$ $1.512 (6)$ $2.076 (4)$ (A) $3.66 (d)$ $2.71 (NMe)$ $8.66 (d)$ $2.71 (NMe)$ $1.67 (d)$ $1.571 (7)$ $1.512 (6)$ $2.076 (4)$ (A) $2.18 (d), 2.78 (NMe)$ $2.18 (d), 2.78 (NMe)$ $2.333 (d)$ $2.18 (d), 2.78 (NMe)$ $1.01, 2.33, 3.65$ $2.62, 16.00$ $1.00, 2.63 (d)$ (B) $3.66 (d)$ $2.18, 3.72$ $2.85 (NMe)$ $1642 (C=O)$ $1.01, 2.18, 3.72$ $2.68, (MNe)$ (B) $1.01, 2.18, 3.72$ $2.63, (MNe)$ $1640 (C=O)$ $1.640 (C=O)$ (B) $1.01, 2.18, 3.72$ $2.68, (MNe)$ $1640 (C=O)$ $9.31 (CHO)$ $9.31 (CHO)$ $9.31 (CHO)$ $1640 (C=O)$	H,	(A)	1.6-2.7 (m)	1.6-2.7 (m)	1.6-2.7 (m)	2.70, 2.72 (NMe)	•							14
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$)н,	(v			2.22 (d), 2.68 (d)	2.77 (NMe)								15
(A) 3.66 (d) 2.71 (NMe) $164.5(2)$ $100'.9(3)$ $112.5(4)$ $1108.8(3)$ (H) 3.64 1.01 , 2.33 , 3.65 2.62 , 1642 (C=O) 1.62 (CMe) 2.33 , 3.65 2.62 , 1642 (C=O) (E) 3.66 1.01 , 2.33 , 3.65 2.62 , 1642 (C=O) 1.62 (CMe) 2.33 , 3.65 2.62 , 1642 (C=O) 1.56 (CMe) 2.33 , 3.65 2.85 (NMe) (E) 3.66 1.01 , 2.18 , 3.72 2.68 , 1640 (C=O) 1.56 (CMe) 2.18 , 3.72 2.68 , 1640 (C=O) 1.56 (CMe) 9.31 (CHO)	Ηı	(Y)	3,56		2.12 (d),	2.55,		2.335 (1)	2.036 (4)	1.583 (7)	1.571 (7)	1.512 (6)	2.076 (4)	15,
(H) 3.64 1.01, $2.33, 3.65$ 2.62, 1642 (C=O) 1.62 (CMe) $2.33, 3.65$ 2.85 (NMe) 9.40 (CHO) (E) 3.66 1.01, $2.18, 3.72$ 2.68, 1640 (C=O) 1.56 (CMe) 9.31 (CHO) 9.31 (CHO) 9.31 (CHO)	H,	(Y)			3.66 (d) 2.18 (d),	2.71 (NMe) 2.78 (NMe)			[84.5(2)]	[107.9(3)]	[107.3(3)]	[112.5(4)]	[108.8(3)]	16 15
(E) 3.66 1.01, 2.18, 3.72 2.60 (CMO) 2.98 (NMe) 2.98 (NMe) 9.31 (CHO) 9.31 (CHO) 9.31 (CHO) 9.31 (CHO)	H,	(H)	3.64	1.01, 1.62 (CMe)	2.33, 3.65	2.62, 2.85 (NMe)	1642 (C=O)							16
	H,	(E)	3.66	1.01, 1.56 (CMe)	2.18, 3.72	2.68, 2.98 (NMe) 9.31 (CHO)	1640 (C=O)							16

16	147	16	16, 17	16	16	16	18	18 18	
			2.086 (3)						
			1.515 (5)						
			1.532 (6)						
			1.528 (5)						
			2.052 (4)						
			2.413 (1)						
1651 (C=O)		1625	1621, 1630 (C=O)	1627, 1636 (C=O)	1640 (C=O)	1621 (C=O)		273 276	
2.61, 2.78 (NMe)	2.78 2.84 (NMe)	2.70, 2.87 (NMe)	9.12 (CHO) 2.63, 2.80 (NMe)	9.03 (CHO) 2.80, 2.94 (NMe) 9.38 (CHO)	2.92, 3.09 (NMe) 9.80 (CHO)	2.49, 2.72 (NMe) 9.19 (CHO)	2.67, 2.80 (NMe) 49.7,	53.8 (NMe) 2.86 (NMe) 47.8, 52.1 (NMe) 2.86, 2.92 (NMe)	49.5, 54.0 (NMe)
2.19, 3.62	2.28, 3.18	2.28 (d), 3.66 (d)	2.17 (d), 3.61 (d)	3.62 (d), 3.62 (d)	3.85 (d), 3.85 (d)	2.03 (d), 3.57 (d)	2.4-2.6 (m)	2.4-2.7 (m) 69.7	71.6
0.97, 1.46 (CMe)	0.94, 1.50 (CMe)	1.03, 1.63 (CMe)	1.04, 1.60 (CMe)	1.07, 1.65 (CMe)	1.11, 1.61 (CMe)	1.00, 1.53 (CMe)	2.6 (m)	2.8 (m) 42.0 2.5-2.8 (m)	41.3
2.75		3.08	3.02	3.05	3.55	3.57	1.8, 2.1 24.0, 25.0	0.93, 1.2 33.2	28.5
(E)					-	•	~ ~		2
H,	(H) H,	(Y) H,	(Y) H,	(Y) H1	(B) H,	Y) H	V)) H1	A) H' A) H' A) H'	

Table I (Continued)													
			NMR ^a			IR			2				
complex	$nucleus$ $(solv)^b$	3	g	γ	other	(M-halogen), cm ⁻¹	M-halogen	Bd1 [1]	Bd2 [2]	Pray Bd3 [3]	Bd4 [4]	Bd5 [5]	ref
S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S													
$X = Cl; L = Me_2SO$	(B) H1	1.95 (m) 2.36 (dd)	3.99 (m)	3.37 (NCH ₂)	2.85, 3.06 (NMe) 1.15,								20
$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Br}; \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{Me}_2 \mathbf{SO}$	(B) H ¹	1.86-2.11 2.35	4.06 (m)	3.35 (NCH ₂)	1.19 (CMe) 2.79, 3.02 (NMe) 1.15	220 (Pd-Br)							20
$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Cl}; \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{py}$	(Y) H,	1.68-2.33 2.58	4.27 (m)	3.27 (NCH ₂)	1.18 (CMe) 2.97, 3.53 (NMe) 1.18,								20
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A					1.20 (CMe)								
$ \begin{array}{l} 19\\ R=C_{s}H_{s};X=Cl\\ R=Et;X=Cl\\ R=Me;X=Cl \end{array} $	(V) H ₁ (V) H ₁ (V) H ₁	8, 5, 5, 5 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5	0.90 (CMe) 1.15 (CMe) 1.15 (CMe)	1.82 (CMe)		243, 298 240, 300 238, 297	2.34/2.54	2.023 (6)	1.518 (8)	1.516(9)	1.271 (4)	1.997	$23 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\ 23 \\$
$\begin{array}{c} R = Me; \ X = Br \\ R = Me; \ X = I \\ Me & Me \\ N & Me \\ Me $	(V) H ₁ (V) H ₁	2.55	1.18 (CMe) 1.19 (CMe)	1.84 (CMe) 1.84 (CMe)		1655 (C=N) 1650 (C=N)		[0.67]	[q-601]	F 1.601]	[0.711]	[4'611]	23
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $		2.12 (d) 2.35 (d) 2.23 (d) 2.43 (d) 2.48 (d) 2.95 (dd)	0.90 (CMe) 1.15 (CMe) 0.90 (CMe) 1.05 (CMe) 1.05 (CMe) 1.15 (CMe)		2.98 (<i>NMe</i>) 2.85 (<i>NMe</i>) 3.03 (<i>NMe</i>) 2.90 (<i>NMe</i>) 2.92 (<i>NMe</i>) 33.85 33.85	285 283		1.991 (5)	1.506 (6)	1.274 (7)	1.483 (5)	2.027 (4)	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
	(Y) H, (E) H, (E) H,	3.15 3.11 3.35	1.02 (CMe) 1.12 (CMe) 1.26 (CMe)		2.86 (<i>NMe</i>) 2.89 (<i>NMe</i>) 3.55 (<i>NMe</i>)	255, 304	2.334 (5)	1.985 (12) [80.7 (7)]	1.47 (3) [115 (1)]	1.51 (2) [111 (1)]	1.31 (1) [114 (1)]	1.996 (13) [119 (1)]	23 24 24 , 166
X = Br; R = Me X = I; R = Me	(V) H, (V) H,	3.20 3.20	1.05 (CMe) 1.05 (CMe)		2.92 (NMe) 2.98 (NMe)								23

24	25	25	25 25 25		26		26		29	29	30	30
									2.134(4)	[108.2 (3)]		2.180(9) [105.8(7)]
									1.510(6)	[(4)8.111]		$\frac{1.518}{[108.8(9)]}$
									1.482 (7)	[106.9 (4)]		1.509 (18) [105.5 (9)]
									1.478 (7)	((£) 6:801]		1.560(16) [105.4(7)]
									2.017(5)	[(2) 2.28]		2.067 (12) [83.6 (4)]
	88								2.362 (2)			2.420 (4)
	207, 230 231, 247, 28 (X = Cl)	203, 271 (X = Br) 250, 296 (X = Cl) (X = Cl)	200, 200 (X = Br) 240, 252 276, 292 232, 269								267	255
3.13 (<i>NMe</i>)					2.89,	2.32 (NMe) 47.8, 51.9 (NMe)	2.89, 9.09 (NMa)	48.1, 52.2 (NMe)	3.15 (NMe)	3.14 (NMe)	46.2, 51.1 (NMe)	-14.9 (P) 4.5 (P)
1.85 (<i>CMe</i>)	1.94 (CMe) 1.93 (CMe)	1.99 (CMe)	1.93 (CMe) 2.05 (CMe) 1.88 (CMe)		2.23, 2.60	63.1	2.24, 2.24	65.3	4.28	4.08	72.3	
1.23 (CMe)					2.55	55.5	3.41	51.1	3.14 (NMe)	3.09 (<i>NMe</i>)	35.4	
2.14					2.16	46.0	1.90	48.6	3.30	3.26	35.4	
(E) (E)	(Y) H ₁ (B) H ₁	(Y) H1	¹ H (B) ¹ H (A) ¹ H (B)		(Y) H _t	(V) J _{E1}	(Y) H,	(A) 3 ^{ε1}	(C) H1	()) H ₁	13C (A)	³¹ P (A) ³¹ P (A)
	нйсоү 35 X = Cl; Y = Me monomers: py	PPh3	X = Cl; Y = OMe monomers: PPh ₃ X = Cl; Y = NH ₂ ^{Co,Me}	MO2C	37 trans isomer monomer: PPh ₃		cis isomer monomer: PPh ₃		$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{I}$	$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{BF}_{\mathbf{A}}$	43 $R = Ph; R1 = Me$	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^{1} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$



42	42	42 42	41		43			44	44		44	44	44	44, 45		46, 47	48	48
			1.99(1) [115.4(9)]								2.094 (7) 2.090 (7) [106.6 (5)] [103.8 (5)]	[[4] 0.641]		$\begin{array}{c} 2.106(6)\\ 2.123(6)\\ [103.2(4)]\\ [106.8(5)]\end{array}$		1.967 (5) [117 9 (3)]	[1.978 (3) 1.978 (3) 1.978 (3) [118.6 (1)]	[117.8 (1)]
			1.41 (2)								1.53(1) 1.49(1) [104.7(7)] [1075(8)]	[[0] 6.101]		$\begin{bmatrix} 1.50(1)\\ 1.50(1)\\ \begin{bmatrix} 106.3(6)\\ \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$		1.348 (5) [114 5 (4)]	[1.332 (4) 1.351 (4) 1.351 (4) [118.6 (3)]	[114.2 (3)]
			1.44 (2) [112.3(10)]								1.44(1) 1.54(1) [118.1(8)] [115.4(8)]	[(0) #.011]		$\begin{array}{c} 1.48 \left(1 \right) \\ 1.51 \left(1 \right) \\ \left[116.3 \left(7 \right) \right] \\ \left[116.6 \left(7 \right) \right] \end{array}$		1.482 (7) [111 1 (4)]	[111.1(3)]	[110.4 (3)]
			1.39 (2) [117.4 (9)]								1.35(1) 1.44(1) [89.1(6)] 1870(5)	[[6] 0.10]		1.40(1) 1.40(1) [88.9(5)] [93.4(5)]		1.556 (6) 102 8 (3)	1.558 (4) 1.539 (5) [101.8 (1)]	[102.5 (1)]
			2.001 (1) [80.0 (5)]								2.293 (9) [85.4 (3)] [85.7 (3)]	[(e) ::eo]		[87.1 (3)] [87.1 (3)] [85.0 (3)]		2.140 (5) [81 8 (1)]	$\begin{bmatrix} 2.175 (3) \\ 2.143 (3) \\ 2.143 (3) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 80.9 (1) \end{bmatrix}$	[80.5 (1)]
										10, 100 0	2.005 (9) (Pt-C) [101.4] [Pt-C-Ma]			Z.581 (17) (Pt-I) [104.2] (Pt-C-Me)			2.050 (3) (Pd-N2)	
	291, 330 296, 336	290, 337 290, 337																
9.34 (6-0vH)	145.6 (6-pyH) 9.00 (6-pyH)	9.36 (6-pyH) 143.2 (6-pyC) 9.36 (6-pyH)			10.0 (6-pyH) 6.55 (P)		9.61	2.52, 2.52,	2.88 (NMe) 2.61,	3.05 (NMe)	2.42, 2.61 (NMe)	2.46, 2.54 (NMe)	2.68, 2.78 (NMe)	2.69. 3.15 (NMe)		1.90 (COMe)	8.65 (pyraH)	3.40 (OMe)
	153.3	153.4					3 84 4 81	3.85, 4.82	4.13, 4.83		4.05, 4.99	4.13, 5.00	3.86, 4.90	3.97, 0.08		169.6		
	200.4	200.3														4.0 3.85 42.8	3.8	3.87
6.42 (<i>J</i> = 142)	6.91 6.91	6.36 (J = 143) 69.3 6.36			4.3(J = 56)		3.19	(CMe, J = 10) 3.13	(CMe, J = 11) 3.12	(CMe, J=9)	3.39 (CMe, J = 9)	3.32 (CMe, J = 8)	3.32 (CMe, J = 8)	3.21 (CMe, J = 8)		51.0		
(F)	(F) (F) (F) (F) (F) (F) (F) (F) (F) (F)	(1) H ¹ (F) H ¹ (F) H ¹			(A) H!		(V) H;	(V) H,	(Y) H,		(Y) H.	(H) H,	H (A)	(H) H.		(V) (V) (V) (V) (V) (V) (V) (V) (V) (V)	(Y) H,	(V) H1
M = Pt: L = Ct;	X = 4-Me X = 4-Me X = 4-Me X = 4-Me	M = Pt; L = Cl; X = 3,5-Me, M = Pd; L = Cl;	$X = 3,5-Me_{2}$ 64: $M = Pt;$ $L = (H_{2}NCH_{2})_{2};$ $X = 3,5-Me_{2}$	Č*–* Ť	65	Control - La - North	x 70 X = Cl (OTF ⁻)	$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Br} (\mathbf{OTf}^{-})$	X = I (OTf)		A = C ₆ H ₄ Me(o) (I ⁻)	$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{p}) \ (\mathbf{I}^{-})$	$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}}^{c} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{s}}^{c} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{p}) \text{ (OTf}^{c})$	V=1(84.1)	72 L-1	L = py; R = COMe $L = py; R = CO_2Et$	L- NO	•₩²02 - ½ ² }→() / • • 1

Continued)
-
Ē
Table

							¢.						
			NMK ²			IR			×	-rav ^c			
complex	nucleus (solv) ^b	ø	ß	٨	other	(M-halogen), cm ⁻¹	M-halogen	Bd1 [1]	Bd2 [2]	Bd3 [3]	Bd4 [4]	Bd5 [5]	ref
L. NO-{2: R- C02Et	(Y) H,		3.86		3.68, 3.74, 3.98, 4.04 (OCH,)								48
L = NO ; R = C02Me	(Y) H,		3.88		3.41 (OMe); 7.25 (= H)								48
$1 \cdot n = 1 \cdot n = 1 \cdot n \cdot c_2 m_0$	(V) H1		3.81		3.43 (OMe); 6.72 (= H)								48
L • NOD = 2, R • CO2M6	(Y) H,		3.82		3.37 (OMe)								48
L - NO-CH2 {2: R - CO2M	(¥) H,		3.87		3.41 (OMe); 3.01 (pyCH ₂)								48
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $													
$R = CO_{2}Me; M = Pd;$ n = 1 $n = 1; R = CO_{2}Me;$ M = Pt	(A) H ¹ (A) D ⁶¹ (A) H ¹	53.6	3.75 43.1 (t) 3.66	171.8	9.06 (6.pyH) 9.25 (6-pyH)			.156(2) 79.6(1)] 1.159(6) 1.142(6) 80.6(2)]	$\begin{array}{c} 1.522(4)\\ [100.3(2)]\\ 1.548(8)\\ 1.531(8)\\ [101.6(4)]\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.498 (4) \\ [110.7 (2)] \\ 1.500 (9) \\ 1.474 (9) \\ [109.8 (5)] \end{array}$	1.338 (4) [114.2 (2)] 1.376 (8) 1.363 (8) [114.8 (5)]	2.040 (3) [125.6 (2)] 2.013 (5) 2.021 (5) [115.1 (4)]	49 53
$R = CO_2 Me; M = Pd;$ $n = 2$	(V) Der (V) Hi	45.6	28.6 (t)	42.4 (t)	162.8		(IIII) (N IIII)	80.4 (2)] :190 (9) 84.5 (3)]	$\begin{bmatrix} 101.3 (4) \\ 1.535 (12) \\ [109.9 (6)] \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 110.8 (6) \\ 1.480 (13) \\ [111.0 (8)] \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 114.5 (6) \\ 1.340 (10) \\ [116.0 (7)] \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 114.8 (4) \\ 2.038 (7) \\ 120.3 (6) \end{bmatrix}$	50
Mode - Come													
:	(Y)	31.7, 30.4	2.97, 3.69 57.3	167.6	9.09 (6-pyH) 152.4 (6-pyC)		8 2 2	.052 .097 31.7]	1.539 1.537 [105.4]	1.507 1.486 [111.0]	1.338 1.356 [112.7] [115.4]	2.006 2.044 [117.5] [116.4]	52
	f co₂me						-						
78	(Y) H1		2.97, 3.75		8.26 (6-pyH)			2.055 (14) 2.073 (14) [81.05 (5)] [83.0 (5)]	1.563 (19) 1.559 (19) [105 (1)] [108 (1)]	1.488 (19) 1.466 (18) [109 (1)] [107 (1)]	$\begin{array}{c} 1.375 (17) \\ 1.368 (17) \\ 1.368 (17) \\ [114 (1)] \\ [121 (1)] \end{array}$	2.019 (10) 1.983 (13) [115.3 (9)] [113.0 (10)]	53

55 55	55 55	55	55 55 55	57	57	55
1.971 (6) [118.5 (5)] 1.969 [118]	1.979 (4) 1.983 (3)	[(19.9 (3)] [(8) 6.611]	1.956 (7) [120.3 (5)]		2.112 2.184 [114.4] [109.7]	1.949/ 2.088 [119.4]
1.345 (11) [114.1 (8)] 1.35 [116]	1.328 (6) 1.334 (6)	[113.2 (4)] [113.2 (4)]	1.336 (12) [112.6 (8)]		1.324 1.333 [114.9] [116.7]	[113.4 (3)]
1.495 (14) [112.1 (7)] 1.47 [111]	1.505(7) 1.514(7)	[112.4 (4)] [112.4 (4)]	1.490 (13) [111.9 (9)]		$\begin{array}{c} 1.484 \\ 1.484 \\ [110.7] \\ [110.8] \end{array}$	[113.0 (2)]
1.551 (12) [106.1 (6)] 1.62 [107]	$\begin{array}{c} 1.581 (7) \\ 1.534 (7) \\ 1.534 (7) \end{array}$	[105.3 (3)]	1.557 (13) [105.6 (5)]		1.544 1.528 [103.1] [102.5]	[105.9 (2)]
2.089 (9) [82.8 (3)] 2.066 [83.8]	2.152 (5) 2.141 (5)	[32.5 (2)] [81.5 (2)]	2.089 (10) [82.1 (3)]		2.108 2.090 [79.7] [78.3]	2.083 (3) [83.5 (1)]
2.313 (2) 2.047			2.286 (3)			2.083
322, 353			320, 342	1674 (C=O)		
4.29 (CH) 1.96 (COCH ₃)	3.77 (OMe)	4.94 (OCH)	51.9 (OMe)		3.11 (CH ₁) ₂	8.81 (6-pyH) 150.3 (6-pyC)
169.2	169.6		168.7			171.4
3.93 3.65 3.65	4.02 3.90 48.0	3.88	3.86 48.5 3.79 3.81	3.64	3.48	3.83 47.5
45.4	41.4		45.1			46.4
(Y) H1 (Y) H1 (Y) H1 (Y) H1	(V) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A	(Y) H,	(Y) H1 (A) H1 (A	(Y) H1	(A) H ¹	(Y)
$B_{1} = CO_{2}Et; X = CI;$ M = Pd M = Pd M = Pd M = Pd	$R = CO_{2}Me$ $R = CO_{2}Et$	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{CO}_{2} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{r}$			$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{CO}_{2}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$	$R^{+} = H; R = CO_{2}Me$

Continued
~
Ē
e
ē
20

Table I (Continued)													
			NMR	a ,		IR			F	Current C			
complex	nucleus (solv) ^d	ъ S	β	λ	other	(M-halogen), cm ⁻¹	M-halogen	Bd1 [1]	Bd2 [2]	Bd3 [3]	Bd4 [4]	Bd5 [5]	ref
$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{Me}; \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{CO}_2 \mathbf{Me}$	$(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{t}}$	46.7	3.62 47.5	169.3	2.98 (6-pyMe) 26.7 (6-pyMe)								57
н 2 ст 2 ст 7 к 92													
$R = CO_2Me$	(V) H,			4.22	4.55 (CH)		2.329(2) 2	2.090 (6) 95.0 (2)]	1.565	1.565 $[111.0]$	1.318 [120.5]	2.064(5)	57
93 5				:									
(^t ^t ^t	39.7 (sep) 45.1	2.53, 3.50 (c 46.6	(F		331							59
2 2 2 2													
95 M = Pt; L = diphos	(A) H ¹	3.1 (J = 77)				2258 (C≡N)	¢	13(2)	1 54 (4)	1 46 (4)	(4) [4]	00 (0)	69
$2BF_4$	(V) d ₁₆				38.2, 51.1	$1050 (BF_4)$		(12(2)) 88.8(8)] 86.4(8)]	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.51 & (3) \\ [108 & (2)] \end{bmatrix}$	1.45(3) [122(2)]	1.47 (3) [119 (2)]	2.04(2) [170(3)]	5
R R K							_	89.4 (8)]	[(1)011]	[(Z) 6Z1]	[(2) 811]	[176 (2)]	
		01 0 00 0				710							č
103: K = Me; A = CI 109: R - Me:	(\mathbf{E}) (\mathbf{E}) (\mathbf{E}) (\mathbf{E})	3.39, 3.40 22.71, 23.91 3.95, 4.01			3.31 (<i>NMe</i>) 53.42 (<i>NMe</i>) 3 30.2 43	257, 315							64 6.1
$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{C}$		0.70, 4.01			(9MN)								04
monomer: X = Cl; L = py	H (E) C (E) C (E)	22.7, 22.95 3.36 27.81			54.49 (NMe) 3.25 (NMe) 53.55 (NMe)	275							64
$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Br}; \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{py}$ $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Cl}; \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{PPh}_{3}$	(\mathbf{E})	2.4 31.84			3.24 (NMe) 51 47 (NMe)	195 272							64
0 ²	(Y) 361	191.2	127.5	156.0	45.6 (NMe)	1576 (C=O)							108
S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S													
$\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{PPh_3}$	13C (A)	210.7	142.3	157.4	52.6 (NMe)	1658 (C=O)							108

	67 67 67 67 67 67	67 70 74, 75	69 70	108 108 108	72	74
	2.150 (7) [111.0 (6)]	2.094 (5)			2.127 (6) [111.5 (3)]	2.15 (1)
	1.414 (13)				1.380 (9) [116.2 (5)]	
					1.397 (7)	
	1.514 (14) [109.6 (08)]				1.491 (8) [109.7 (4)]	
	2.074 (12) [175.0 (02)]	2.046 (6) [81.92 (2)]			2.002 (7) [82.8 (2)]	2.03(1) [81.6(5)]
		2.566 (1) (Pd-Br)				
	288 1704, 1682 (NO)	161, 172		1670 (C=O)		
	9.54 (2-quinH) 9.18 (2-quinH) 9.33 (2-quinH) 8.69 (2-quinH) 8.51 (2-quinH) 8.51 (2-quinH) 9.07 (2-quinH)	9.34 (2-quinH) 9.58 (2-quinH) 149.7 (2-quinH) 28.7 (P)	3.66 (<i>NMe</i>) 49.8 (<i>NMe</i>) 5.05 (<i>CH</i> ,O) 65.4 (<i>CH</i> ,O) 149.6 (2-quin <i>C</i>)	10.14 (2-quin <i>H</i>) 152.8 (2-quin <i>C</i>) 39.1 (P) 152.1 (2-quin <i>C</i>)	4.43 (<i>OMe</i>) 61.0 (<i>OMe</i>)	33.7 (P)
	149.4	127.6	129.7 128.0	149.1 149.1		
	148.7	129.0	148.7 147.9	143.8 144.3		
	3.95 24.7 3.50 3.41 2.89 3.10 3.42 3.89	4.19 3.12 24.3 (d) 3.02 (d) 34.25	3.16 24.7 24.7 24.7 24.7	206.6 212.9	3.71 21.6 x = t	2.56, 3.29
	¹ H (A) ¹ AC (A) ¹ AC (A) ¹ H (A)	¹ H (A) ¹ H (A) ¹ C (B) ¹ C (E)	$ \begin{array}{c} (H (A)) \\ (H (A)) $	¹ H (A) ¹⁰ C (A) ¹⁰ C (A)	H (A)	(E)
Ĩ	L = CO; R = CI $L = PPhMe_{1};$ $Mo(CO)_{1}(\eta - C_{2}H$ $PhMe_{2};$ $Co(CO_{4})$ $Lo(CO)_{2}(\eta - C_{3}H_{4})$ $Lo(CO)_{3}(\eta - C_{3}H_{4})$ $O(CO)_{4}; R = py$ $e(CO)_{4}; O(CO)_{4}; R = py$ py py py py $D(CO)_{3}(\eta - C_{3}H_{4})$ $D(CO)_{3}(\eta - C_{3}H_{4})$ $D(CO)_{4}(\eta - C_{3}H_{4})$ $D(CO)_{4}(\eta - C_{3}H_{4})$ $D(CO)_{5}(\eta - C_{3}H_{4})$ $D(CO)_{5}(\eta - C_{3}H_{4})$ $D(CO)_{5}(\eta - C_{5}H_{4})$ $D(CO)_{5}(\eta - C_{5}H_{5})$ $D(CO)_{5}(\eta - C_{5})$ $D(CO)_{5}(\eta - C_{5})$ D(CO)	$PPh_{3}(CO)_{4}; R = CI$ Et, R = CI PPh_{3}CH_{4}CO_{5}Et; Br d_{4}^{-}	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{I}};\\ \mathbf{CH}=\mathbf{NMe}\\ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{I}}; \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{CH}_{1}\mathbf{OH}\\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{I}}; \\ \mathbf{R} \end{bmatrix}$			
	105 106 1106 1106 1106 1106 1106 1106 11				z	PF, -

Table I (Continued)													
	-		NMR ^a			IR				(-rav ^c			
complex	$(solv)^b$	σ	θ	٨	other	(M-halogen) cm ⁻¹	M-halogen	Bd1 [1]	Bd2 [2]	Bd3 [3]	Bd4 [4]	Bd5 [5]	ref
, Z	+							$\begin{array}{c} 2.06\ (2)\\ [86.2\ (6)]\\ 2.01\ (1)\\ [83.7\ (6)]\end{array}$	1.51 (4) [108 (4)]	1.38 (4) [117 (3)]]	1.37 (2) [116 (2)]	2.06 (2) 1.99 (1) [112 (2)]	
H ₂ C ₂ , H ₂ ,	C C	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S											
BF4 - 113	(E) H1	3.80				1065 (BF)	2.520 (2) 2.525 (2) 2.520 (2)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.014 \ (9) \\ 2.0214 \ (9) \\ 2.023 \ (9) \\ 2.023 \ (9) \\ 2.006 \ (9) \\ 183.0 \ (3) \end{array}$				2.097 (6) 2.118 (6) 2.089 (6)	74, 75
	(E) Der (E) Der (E) H	3.22 (d) 20.77			17.0 179.96 (C=O)								75
	'H (E)	3.12 (d)						2.05(2) [83.7(10)]	1.50 (3) [107 (2)]	1.42(3) $[119(2)]$	1.40(3) [115(3)]	2.06 (3) [113 (2)]	75
	0 0												
130	(E) (E)				8.28 (2-quinH)		2.364 (2) 2.368 (2)	2.083 (6)				2.099 (4)	78
275 275	(V) (V) (V) (V) (V) (V)	114.6	15 5. 3	139.7	3.40 (<i>NMe</i>)		2.318 (9)	2.09 (3) 185.6 (10)1	1.55 (4) [107 (91]	1.39 (4) 1116 (2)1	1.45 (3) 1190 (31)	2.07 (2)	152
	•							[/~~/ ~~~]		[[1]]]]]	[101 107]	[(4) 011	

88 88 88 88 88 88	88	8 8 8 8	93 93	33	6	96
2.247 (12) [110.2 (0.9) 2.222 (11) [110.6 (0.8)			$\begin{array}{c} 2.104 (5) \\ [1.215 (4)] \\ 2.102 (3) \\ 2.102 (3) \\ 2.01 \end{array}$	[(
1.528 (20) [112.8 (0.8)] [1.547 (15) [114.0 (0.8)]			1.339 (9) [117.9 (5)] 1.344 (5)	[(6) 8.111]		
1.519 (22) [125.6 (0.9)] [124.9 (0.9)]			:			
1.416 (22) [126.1 (0.9)] [1.364 (20) [127.2 (1.1)]			[115.6 (4)] [1474 (5)	[[1]0.4 (2]]		
2.295 (14) [~99.6] [~101.4]			2.072 (5) [90.5 (2)] 2.071 (3)	[(T) /.06]		
2.449 (2) 2.337 (4)			2.406 (2) 2.405 (1)			
320 305 182-202 306	312	317, 255 315, 266	284 420 (Pd-P)	1600 (C=N)	292 427 (Pd-P)	
2.32, 2.70 (NMe) 2.64, 2.97 (NMe) 2.71, 2.60, 2.77 (NMe)				7.76 (6-pyH) 151.1 (6-pyC)		3.38 (<i>NMe</i>) 52.0 (<i>NMe</i>)
2.76 2.65, 2.76 NA 2.69, 2.82	3.98, 4.16					
1.58, 2.16 (CMe) 1.54, 2.16 (CMe)				164.2	4.11	
(CMe)			2.44 (t) 3.81 (d)	2.72 (t) 4.38 (dd) 26.2		3.36 23.4
(3) H ₁ (3) H ₁ (3) H ₁			(1) H,	(E) (E) (E)		(E) (E) (E) (E)
$ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & $			$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Cl}; \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{PPh}_{3}$	$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O}; \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{PPh}_{3}$ \mathbf{CIO}_{4}		I53

			NMR ⁴			IR			4-X	arc			
complex	nucleus (solv) ^b	ð	g	٢	other	(M-halogen), cm ⁻¹	M-halogen	Bd1 [1]	Bd2 [2]	Bd3 [3]	Bd4 [4]	Bd5 [5]	ref
r 51	(E) (E) (E) (E)	3.25 21.9			2.79 (NMe) 49.8 (NMe)								96
667	(3) Dei (E) H ₁	2.79 21.8			2.61, 3.03 (NMe) 49.6, 50.7 (NMe)								96
158 trans N-donors cis N-donors	(¥) H ₁ (¥) H ₁			2.95, 3.98 2.90, 3.99	1.66, 2.63 (NMe) 1.67, 2.70 (NMe)		~~~~	2.016 (9) 90.31 (0.36)] 2.004 (10)			1.484 (13) 1.321 (13)	2.215 (8) 2.119 (8)	96 96
							_	00.94 ((0.30)					
179 $R = CH_{2}Ph$	(Y) H,				10.28 (2-quinH)		2.545 (1) 1	.949 (4)				2.042 (3)	103
$\mathbf{R}^{1} = \mathbf{R}^{2} = \mathbf{H}; \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}$	(A) (A) (A) (A)	9.30 186	6.62 129.3	168.2	9.47 (6-pyH) 151.5 (6-pyC)								105
$R^1 = H; R^2 = Me;$ X = Br $R^1 = R^2 = Me; X = Br$	(A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A)	2.66 (Me) 196.9 2.64 (Me) 187.6	6.42 128.6 1.99 (<i>Me</i>) 130.0	167.7 168.3	9.70 (6-pyH) 152.5 (6-pyH) 9.86 (6-pyH) 153.1 (6-pyH)								105
199a: R = Me 204: R = 2-py	(Y) H,				-14.34 (OsH)		5	926 (4) (0s-0s)	2.118 (12) (0sC)		1.352 (14) (N-C)	2.058 (9)	113

115 156	117	116, 117	116 116	118	118 118	118
2.206 (5) 2.117 (8) [107.5 (6)]	2.123 (7) 190.8 (7)	2.115 (5) [90.8 (4)]				
1.47 (2) [112.1 (10)]	1.584 (19) 1.102 4 (10)	[99.0 (5)]				
1.50 (1) [112.7 (10)]						
1.52 (1) [113.0 (8)]	1.512 (18) 195 6 (81)	[95.9 (4)]				
2.086 (6) 1.938 (11) [85.3 (4)]	2.055 (11) 170 6 (41)	2.002 (7) [68.6 (3)]				
	2.396 (3)	2.370 (2)				
			265 255	226, 265	241, 279	279
		2.87 (NMe)	3.09 (<i>NMe</i>) 3.04, 3.10 (<i>NMe</i>)	8.93 (6-pyH)	9.47 (6-pyH) 9.61 (6-pyH)	9.78 (6-pyH)
			3.53 3.58			
٤		(¥) H.	(A) (A) H'	(Y) H,	(¥) H, (¥) H,	
$B = C_{c}H_{c}C(p)$	$c: R = R^{1} = H$	$\begin{array}{c} a: R = H;\\ R' = CMe,\\ R' = CMe,\\ R' = Re,\\ 208 \end{array}$	R = H $R = Me$	s (-) (-) s ⊗-(-) s	X = C X = Br	211

(Continued)	
H	ł
Table	

			NMR ^a									and the second se	
	nucleus					- IK (M-halogen).			X	-ray ^c			
complex	(solv) ^b	α	β	λ	other	cm ⁻¹	M-halogen	Bd1 [1]	Bd2 [2]	Bd3 [3]	Bd4 [4]	Bd5 [5]	ref
C. N													
a a y y													
213								(8) (3) 80 0 (3)				2.100 (8)	120
$\langle c \rangle$								lie) n.eo					
۲ ×													
217													
a: $X = S$; $Y = CH$; M = Ir	(E)				9.07 (6-pyH)	224, 245							123
monomers: I.= Me SO	(B) H1				9.45, 0.77 (6-00-17)	255							123
$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{p}\mathbf{y}$	(Y) H,				7.94, 7.94, 0.76 (5 11)	243							123
$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{PBu}_3$	(Y) H1				8.78, 8.78,	253							123
halogen = Br;	(Y) H,				9.77 (6-pyH) 8.76,								123
L = PBu ₃ halogen = I:	(A) H ¹				9.91 (6-pyH) 8 75								661
$L = PBu_3$ b: $X - S \cdot V - CH_3$	(a)				10.11 (6-pyH)	710 710							120
M = Pd					9.06 (0-pyn)	211, 241							124
monomers: L = py L = PBu.	(A) H ¹				9.35 (6-pyH) 9.36 (6-pyH)	242 949							124
halogen = Br ; $L = py$ halogen = Br ;	(A) H'				9.52 (6-pyH) 9.63 (6-pvH)	2							124
$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{PBu}_{3}$ c: $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{S}; \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{CH};$	(B) H,				9.27 (6-pyH)	238, 279, 288							124
$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{CO})_2$	(0) 11				(11	000							
monomers: $L = PBu_3$ d: X = S; Y = CH;	(8) H, (V) H, (1) H,			9.38 (6-pyH)	9.37 (6-pyH) 8.38 (6-pyH) 225, 273, 328	298 298							$\begin{array}{c}124\\124\\124\end{array}$
M = KhrBu JCi; halogen = Br e: X = CH; Y = S;	(B) H1 (B) H1				9.55 (6-pyH) 9.50 (6-pyH)	204 248, 209							124 124
M = Pd monomere: $I_{i,z}$ by	(1) (1)				(<u>11</u>) 66 0	010							
L = PBu, halogen = Br; L = py halogen = Br; r = Pbr;	(V) H, (V) H, (V) H,				9.50 (6-py <i>H</i>) 9.37 (6-py <i>H</i>) 9.60 (6-py <i>H</i>) 9.60 (6-py <i>H</i>)	250							124 124 124
f: X = CH; Y = S; $M = RhPBu, Cl$	(B) H1				9.32 (6-pyH)	228, 256, 343							124
$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Pd}; \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Cl}$ $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Br}$	(B) H' (B) H'				8.65 (3-pyrz) 7.94 (3-pyrz)	223, 252 339							125 125
monomer: L = py	(A) H ¹				8.12 (3-pyrz) 7.48 (3-pyrz)	210 252							125
L = PBu ₃ X = I; L = PBu ₃	(V) H1				7.83 (3-pyrz) 7.80 (3-pyrz)	250							$125 \\ 125$

125	126 126	126 126 126	128 128 128
231, 274, 318 246, 313	287, 363 217	242 293	328 α , while the carbon next to the coordinating nitrogen is numbered as γ . In six- and higher-membered ind the carbon next to the coordinating nitrogen is numbered γ . For π -complexes, both the π -bonded IMR solvents: $A = CDCl_3$; $B = (CD_3)_2$ SO; $C = CD_3CN$; $D = C_6D_5NO_2$; $E = CD_2Cl_2$; $F = SO_2$; $G = CD_3OD$; $d = 14^{\circ}C_{\circ} = 24^{\circ}C$.
8.72 (3-pyrz) 8.13 (3-pyrz)	7.56, 7.83 (ThioH) 7.50, 7.79 (ThioH)	6.33, 7.40 (ThioH) 6.35, 7.37 (ThioH) 6.95, 7.46 (ThioH)	7.98 (6-pyH) 8.05 (6-pyH) 8.27 (6-pyH) arbon is numbered o it is numbered g, s s numbered g, c ts] are in degrees.
	2.05, 2.30 (CMe) 2.08, 2.28 (CMe)	2.18, 2.32 (CMe) 2.19, 2.17, 2.17, 2.34 (CMe)	talated ring, the coordinating c numbered α , the carbon next to t to the coordinating nitrogen i stroms. Bond angles [in bracke
'H (B) 'H (A)	7. Å	(Y) H1 (Y) H1 (Y) (Y) H1	¹ H (B) ¹ H (B) ¹ H (B) ¹ H (B) ¹ mg four-membered cyclome the coordinating carbon is d as α , while the carbon nex c Bond distances are in angs
monomer: L = PBu ₃	$ \begin{array}{l} X = S; Y = CH; Z = C \\ X = S; Y = CH; Z = H \\ X = S; Y = CH; Z = H \\ & \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{array} \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} X = S; Y = CH; \\ Z = py; Q = CI \\ X = S; Y = CH; \\ Z = y; Q = CI \\ X = S; Y = CH; \\ Z = FBu_3; Q = Br \\ Z = FBu_3; Q = CI \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	K = Cl K = Br K = I a In complexes hav or complexes hav syclometalated rings, sarbons are numbered and H = (CD ₃) ₂ CO.

Metal-halogen vibrations occur in the region from 400 to 100 cm⁻¹. These signals are usually strong; thus the particular frequency and number of signals can be related to isomeric geometry, metal-halogen separation, and stereochemistry within the coordination sphere. The frequency of metal-halogen vibrations are of interest when the halogen is trans to a C-donor; numerous examples are shown in Table I. The absorption for a halogen trans to carbon is shifted to much lower energy than when trans to nitrogen. The stereochemistry of coordinated carbon and halogen can be ascertained as cis or trans simply by finding the number of peaks due to metal-halogen (one for trans and two for cis) in the 400–100 cm⁻¹ region. σ -C-Donors have been found by this technique; controversy still remains as to which kind of C-donor, i.e., alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, benzyl, or acyl, is the strongest σ -donor. Limited evidence suggests that the σ -bonded acyl carbon may win out.¹⁵⁶

C. X-ray Structural Determinations

Numerous crystal structure determinations of these organometallics have been completed to date and have indicated a remarkable similarity between chelate rings. Unfortunately of the complexes herein reviewed, few correlatable series are yet available in which two or more types of pertinent spectral data have been reported. As X-ray crystallography becomes more routien, such comparative studies for example with ¹³C NMR data will follow.

Table I lists key structural data, which deal directly with the chelate ring. The headings are defined by **280**. Below the bond lengths are the designated bond angles. In general, the five-membered metallacyclic ring is *not* flat preferring a pseudoenvelope conformation. The average N-metal distance in σ -complexes is 2.06 Å and in π -complexes is 2.09 Å. The C-metal distance averages 2.08 Å for a σ -bond and 2.14 Å for a π -bond. The structural analysis of **281** has revealed an unusually short C-Pd bond, which (1.938 Å) is even shorter than the ylide C-metal distance of **64**⁴¹ and is the shortest C-Pd bond for a cyclometalated carbon yet reported.¹⁵⁶ The structural effect of this short bond is to force the trans ligands to exceptionally long bonding distances.¹⁵⁶



Of all the listed complexes for which X-ray data are available, the σ -complexes 4a and 5 have the most ideal geometry. Thus, saturated five-membered ring appears to be well suited to form the expected bond angles in the chelate ring. Interestingly, 74 has bond angles which closely approach the expected or predicted angles, although it has a *six*-membered chelate ring; 74 exhibits totally comparable physical properties to the related five-membered analogue.

Structural determinations have been used to confirm suspected molecular irregularities. Complex 5 demonstrated an unusual absorption (IR) for the aldehydic carbonyl group. The X-ray data for 5 have revealed that there was a large contribution due to the enolic resonance hybrid, in which the coordinated carbon had a geometry which was distorted toward sp² hybridization and the C(carbonyl)–C bond was appreciably shortened (1.460 Å; 1.505 Å was predicted).¹⁷ X-ray results for 64 also showed the similar phenomenon for carbonyl–ylide C bond distance (1.39 Å), which is shortened even more drastically than in 5 and approaches C=C bond character.⁴¹ X-ray determinations have been useful for delineating the geometry of π bonded double bonds in relation to the coordination sphere and to confirm hypothesized metal–hydrogen interactions from spectral analysis.¹⁴⁸

IV. Conclusions

In general, there are only four basic reactions that lead to cyclometallation. To date in the reviewed series, each involves initial N-coordination. The differentiation occurs in the second step, i.e., formation of the C-metal bond. Type I is a simple anionic displacement reaction, which encompasses nucleophilic attack on the metal by a stabilized carbanion, an aromatic ring, or a juxtaposed electron pair. Type II utilizes either a C-H or C-C insertion process (oxidative addition) involving a nonzero oxidation state for metal. For C-H insertion the resulting oxidized complex can either undergo reductive elimination of HX or remain in the oxidized state if the hydrido species is stable. For example, the benzyl group undergoes facile C-H bond insertion. Type II is generally differentiated from Type I because the pK_a of the C-H bond is sufficiently high to make this group relatively nonacidic; thus, the formation of a carbanion under typical conditions (i.e., weak base or no base) for cyclometalation is not likely. A corollary to Type II can be seen in the cyclopalladation of 8isopropylquinoline where it has been shown that the C-H bond activation in the square-planar complexes involve short CH...M axial interactions. 8-Isopropylquinoline in 282 did not result in the cyclometalated



product even under forcing conditions probably due mostly to distortions in coordination forcing the isopropyl group out of the desired orientation for cyclization.^{69,157} Newkome et al. have also observed similar type of distortions in the Pd(II) complexes of bipyridine systems.⁵⁵ Type III involves oxidative addition of a carbon group to a zerovalent metal. The carbon group may be a C-H, C-C, C-halogen, or C-metal system. Type IV is exemplified by a carbon group insertion into an already existing C-metal bond of a metallocyclic ring (Chart I).

There do not appear to be any a priori rules for predicting the stability of a metallocyclic system, since a myriad of carbons in diverse degrees of hybridization coordinate various metals to generate organometallics with wide-ranging stability characteristics. However, it is obvious that the five-membered ring size is the most common variable in the cyclometalated examples, yet reported. The reason for five-membered ring stability embraced by most researchers is that this ring size



should have the most ideal geometries (bond angles) of all the possible ring sizes. While the five-membered ring has been shown by X-ray structure determination to have fairly ideal geometries, the one X-ray structure of a six-membered ring in a Pd complex (74) also has relatively strain-free bond angles and lengths;⁵⁰ thus the enhanced mobility in larger ring may permit a chelate ring to approach the idealized orientation. Steric bulk both on the metallacyclic ring and in other coordinating ligands is another factor that gives stability to systems that otherwise are not stable.

The general reactivity of metallacyclic complexes has been studied in a limited fashion. Further studies aimed toward using the complexes as synthetic intermediates and catalyst¹³ would be timely.

Note Added in Proof. Albinati et al.¹⁵⁹ have recently reported carbonylation and isonitrile insertion into the Pd-C bond of cyclopalladated benzylideneaniline Schiff's base complexes. The reaction conditions and products formed are similar to that of 147 and 149. Many other interesting rhodium¹⁶⁰ and ruthenium¹⁶¹ clusters have been synthesized by the cycloaddition of nitrenes, carbon monoxide, and an alkyne. Spectral data and X-ray crystal structures of these clusters have been reported.^{160,161}

8-Quinolinyl alkyl ketones having β -hydrogens on the alkyl group react with $[(C_2H_4)RhCl]_2$ to afford 8quinolinyl ethyl ketone; a similar reaction of 8quinolinyl phenyl ketone with $[(C_2H_4)RhCl]_2$ generates styrene via ethylene insertion into a Rh-phenyl bond, then β -elimination of the phenylethyl complex.¹⁶² 8-Quinolinecarboxaldehyde reacted with $PtP(C_6H_{11})_3$ to give (tricyclohexylphosphine)(quinolinecarbonyl) platinum hydride, which upon treatment with CCl₄ generated the corresponding chloro complex by $H^- \rightarrow Cl^$ exchange.¹⁶⁴

Recently, Crabtree et al. reported that a methyl C-H bond in the 8-methylqinoline (mq) complex, [IrH₂- $(mq){P(C_6H_5)_3}_2]SbF_6$, was nondissociatively bound to iridium via a 2-electron 3-center C-H-Ir bridge.¹⁶⁵ A similar reaction involving 7,8-benzoquinoline (bqH) and $[Ir(cod)(PPh_3)_2]^+$ in CH_2Cl_2 under a hydrogen atmosphere gave $[IrH(H_2O)(bq)(PPh_3)_2]SF_6$; analytical and spectroscopic data were reported.¹⁶⁶

The reactivity of the C-Pd σ -bond in 231b has been shown by carbonylation in the presence of long-chain diols to give α -keto esters, which readily undergo sub-sequent cyclopalladation.¹⁶⁷ Cyclopalladated ferrocene **231b** underwent bridge cleavage with $P(C_6H_5)_3$, As- $(C_6H_5)_3$, $P(OC_6H_5)_3$, pyridine, and 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane. Electrochemical and spectroscopic data for metalation complexes of [(dimethylamino)-methyl]ferrocene were reported.¹⁶⁸ Photolysis of these complexes is also described.¹⁶⁹ It has been shown recently that aminopalladation and stoichiometric double carbonylation of alk-1-enes affords an entry into β_{γ} unsaturated α -keto amides.¹⁷⁰

V. Acknowledgment

We wish to thank in the initial phases of our studies in palladacycles Dow Chemical USA and later the LSU Center for Energy Studies and the National Science Foundation for partial support of our work reported in this review.

VI. References

- Dehand, J.; Pfeffer, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1976, 18, 327.
- Bruce, M. I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1977, 16, 73. Omae, I. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 287. (2)
- (3)
- (4)Omae, I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1980, 32, 235.
- Omae, I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1979, 28, 97. (5)
- (6)Omae, I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1982, 42, 31. (7)
- Hartley, F. R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1982, 41, 319. (8)Omae, I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1982, 42, 245.
- Omae, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 889. Hartley, F. R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1981, 35, 143. (9)
- (10)
- (11) Kemmitt, R. D. W.; Russell, D. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 230, 1.
- (12)Constable, E. C. Polyhedron 1984, 3, 1037.
- Ryabov, A. D. Synthesis 1985, 233. (13)
- Holton, R. A.; Kjonaas, R. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 142, (14)C15.
- (15) Alyea, E. C.; Dias, S. A.; Ferguson, G.; McAlees, A. J.; McCrindle, R.; Roberts, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4985
- (16) McCrindle, R.; McAlees, A. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 244.97.
- (17) Ferguson, G.; McAlees, A. J.; McCrindle, R.; Ruhl, B. L. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1982, B38, 2253.
- (18) Weinberg, E. L.; Hunter, B. K.; Baird, M. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 240, 95.
- (19) Holton, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 8083.
- McCrindle, R.; Alyea, E. C.; Ferguson, G.; Dias, S. A.; McA-lees, A. J.; Parvez, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 137. (20)
- (21) Baldwin, J. E.; Najera, C.; Yus, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 126.
- (22) Constable, A. G.; McDonald, W. S.; Sawkins, L. C.; Shaw, B. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 1061. (23) Constable, A. G.; McDonald, W. S.; Sawkins, L. C.; Shaw, B.
- L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 1992
- (24) Galli, B.; Gasparrini, F.; Maresca, L.; Natile, G.; Palmieri, G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 1483.
- (25) Nonoyama, M. Transition Met. Chem. (Weinheim, Ger.) 1983, 8, 121
- (26) Holton, R. A.; Zoeller, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2124.
- (27) Chauvet, F.; Heumann, A.; Waegell, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 4393. Wong, P. K.; Dickson, M. K.; Sterna, L. L. J. Chem. Soc.,
- (28)Chem. Commun. 1985, 1565.
- (29) Barefield, E. K.; Carrier, A. M.; Sepelak, D. J.; Van Derveer, D. G. Organometallics 1982, 1, 103
- (30) Briggs, J. R.; Crocker, C.; McDonald, W. S.; Shaw, B. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1982, 457. (31) Fuchita, Y.; Hiraki, K.; Uchiyama, T. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton
- Trans. 1983, 897
- (32) Fuchita, Y.; Hiraki, K.; Matsumoto, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 280, C51.
- (33) Onishi, M.; Hiraki, K.; Itoh, T.; Ohama, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 254, 381. Onishi, M.; Hiraki, K.; Maeda, K.; Itoh, T. Ibid. 1980, 188, 245. Nakatsu, K.; Kafuku, K.; Ya-maoka, H.; Isobe, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Kawaguchi, S. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981, 54, L69.

- Chim. Acta 1951, 54, L69.
 (34) Bruce, M. I.; Wallis, R. C. Aust. J. Chem. 1982, 35, 709.
 (35) Hiraki, K.; Sasada, Y.; Kitamura, T. Chem. Lett. 1980, 449.
 (36) Hiraki, K.; Ochi, N.; Sasada, Y.; Hayashida, H.; Fuchita, Y.; Yamanaka, S. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 873.
 (37) Dickson, R. S.; Nesbit, R. J.; Pateras, H. Patrick, J. M.; White, A. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 265, C25.
 (38) Chung, P. J.; Suzuki, H.; Moro-oka, Y.; Ikawa, T. Chem. Lett. 1980, 63.
- 1980.63.
- (39) Sugimoto, R.; Eikawa, H.; Suzuki, H.; Moro-oka, Y.; Ikawa, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1981, 54, 2849.
 (40) Matsubayashi, G.-e; Kondo, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Nishigaki, S.; Nakatsu, K. Chem. Lett. 1979, 375.
 (41) Matsubayashi, G.-e; Ueyama, K.; Nakatsu, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 240, 100 (2010)
- Chem. 1982, 240, 103.

- (42) Matsubayashi, G.-e; Kondo, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, *219*, 269
- (43) Heaton, B. T.; Timmins, K. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 52.12
- Terheijden, J.; van Koten, G.; Vinke, I. C.; Spek, A. L. J. Am. (44)Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2891
- Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G.; Louwen, J. N.; Noltes, J. G.; Spek, A. L.; Ubbels, H. J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, (45)6609
- Newkome, G. R.; Kawato, T. Inorg. Chim. Acta Lett. 1979, 37, L481.
- Newkome, G. R.; Kawato, T.; Kohli, D. K.; Puckett, W. E.; Olivier, B. D.; Chiari, G.; Fronczek, F. R.; Deutsch, W. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3423. (47)
- (48)Newkome, G. R.; Kohli, D. K.; Fronczek, F. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 994.
- (49) Newkome, G. R.; Gupta, V. K.; Fronczek, F. R. Organo-metallics 1982, 1, 907; 1983, 2, 785.
 (50) Newkome, G. R.; Puckett, W. E.; Gupta, V. K.; Fronczek, F. R. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1247.
 (51) Newkome, D. P. Gupta, V. K.; Pronczek, F. D.
- Newkome, G. R.; Gupta, V. K.; Baker, G. R.; Fronczek, F. R., (51)inpublished results
- (52)Newkome, G. R.; Baker, G. R.; Fronczek, F. R., submitted for publication in Organometallics. Newkome, G. R.; Theriot, K. J.; Fronczek, F. R., unpublished
- (53)esults Newkome, G. R.; Onishi, M.; Puckett, W. E.; Deutsch, W. A. (54)
- (55)
- J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4551.
 Newkome, G. R.; Puckett, W. E.; Kiefer, G. E.; Gupta, V. K.; Fronczek, F. R.; Pantaleo, D. C.; McClure, G. L.; Simpson, J. B.; Deutsch, W. A. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 811.
- (56)Binamira-Soriaga, E.; Lundeen, M.; Seff, K. J. Cryst. Mol. Struct. 1979, 9, 67
- Newkome, G. R.; Kiefer, G. E.; Frere, Y. A.; Onishi, M.; (57)Fronczek, F. R. Organometallics 1986, 5, 348. (58)
- Newkome, G. R.; Evans, D. W., unpublished results. Hiraki, K.; Fuchita, Y.; Matsumoto, Y. Chem. Lett. 1984, (59)1947
- (60) Ros, R.; Michelin, R. A.; Boschi, T.; Roulet, R. Inorg. Chim.
- Acta 1979, 35, 43. (61) Calligaro, L.; Michelin, R. A.; Uguagliati, P. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1983, 76, L83
- Schwarzenbach, D.; Pinkerton, A.; Chapuis, G.; Wenger, J.; Ros, R.; Roulet, R. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1977, 25, 255. (62)
- Mutet, C.; Pfeffer, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 171, C34. (64)Dehand, J.; Mutet, C.; Pfeffer, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981,
- 209, 255 Pfeffer, M.; Wehman, E.; van Koten, G. J. Organomet. Chem. (65)
- 1985, 282, 127. Jones, T. C.; Nielson, A. J.; Rickard, C. E. Aust. J. Chem.
- (66)1984, 37, 2179.
- (67)Pfeffer, M.; Grandjean, D.; Le Borgne, G. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 4426.
- (68) Deeming, A. J.; Rothwell, I. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Com-
- mun. 1978, 344. Deeming, A. J.; Rothwell, I. P.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Malik, K. M. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 1899. (69)
- Deeming, A. J.; Rothwell, I. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, (70)205, 117.
- Ryabov, A. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 268, 91. (71)
- Dehand, J.; Mauro, A.; Ossor, H.; Pfeffer, M.; Santos, R. H. D. A.; Lechat, J. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 250, 537. Ryabov, A. D.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 789. Braunstein, P.; Fischer, J.; Matt, D.; Pfeffer, M. J. Am. (72)
- (74)
- (14) Dram. Soc. 1984, 106, 410.
 (75) Braunstein, P.; Matt, D.; Dusausoy, Y.; Fischer, J.; Mitschler,

- (15) Braunstein, P.; Matt, D.; Dusausoy, Y.; Fischer, J.; Mitschler, A.; Ricard, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5115.
 (76) Sokolov, V. I.; Bashilov, V. V.; Musaev, A. A.; Reutov, O. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 225, 57.
 (77) Bashilov, V. V.; Sokolov, V. I.; Reutov, O. A. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1982, 2069.
 (78) Suggs, J. W.; Wovkulich, M. J.; Lee, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5546.
 (79) Shvo, Y.; Thomas, D. W.; Laine, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2461.
 (80) Laine, R. M. Catal Rev Sci. Eng. 1982, 25, 450.
- Laine, R. M. Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng. 1983, 25, 459 Wilson, R. B., Jr.; Laine, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, (81)
- 361. (82)
- Laine, R. M.; Thomas, D. W.; Cary, L. W.; Buttrill, S. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1978**, 100, 6527. Murahashi, S.-I.; Yano, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, 102, 2456. Murahashi, S.-I.; Watanabe, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, 101,
- (84)7429
- (85)Murahashi, S.-I.; Hirano, T.; Yano, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978. 100. 348.
- Murahashi, S.-I.; Yoshimura, N.; Tsumiyama, T.; Kojima, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5002. (86)
- Murahashi, S.-I.; Yano, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (87)1979. 270.
- (88)Bahsoun, A.; Dehand, J.; Pfeffer, M.; Zinsius, M.; Bouaoud, S.-E.; Le Borgne, G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 547.

- (89) Ryabov, A. D.; Sakodinskaya, I. K.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1983, 1511.
- (90) Ryabov, A. D.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 2Ĭ, 2757.
- (91) Yamamoto, Y.; Yamazaki, H. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1980, 41,
- (92) Mantovani, A.; Crociani, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 236, C37.
- (93) (a) Isobe, K.; Kawaguchi, S. Heterocycles 1981, 16, 1603. (b) Nakatsu, K.; Kafuku, K.; Hirotaka, Y.; Isobe, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Kawaguchi, S. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981, 54, L69.
 (94) Nakatsu, K.; Kinoshita, K.; Kanda, H.; Isobe, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Kawaguchi, S. Chem. Lett. 1980, 913.
 (95) Isobe, K.; Kai, E.; Nakamura, Y.; Nishimoto, K.; Miwa, T.; Kawaguchi, S.; Kinoshita, K.; Nakatsu, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2475.
 (96) Arlen C.; Pfoffer M: Bars O.; Grandigen D. J. Chem. Soc.

- (96) Arlen, C.; Pfeffer, M.; Bars, O.; Grandjean, D. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 1535.
 (97) Crociani, B.; Bianca, F. D.; Giovenco, A. J.; Scrivanti, A. J.
- Organomet. Chem. 1983, 251, 393. Tanaka, H.; Isobe, K.; Kawaguchi, S. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981,
- (98) 54, L201
- (99) Dholakia, S.; Gillard, R. D.; Wimmer, F. L. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1983, 69, 179. (100) Skapski, A. C.; Sutcliffe, V. F.; Young, G. B. J. Chem. Soc.,
- Chem. Commun. 1985, 609.
- (101) Suggs, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 640.
 (102) Suggs, J. W.; Cox, S. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 221, 199.
- Suggs, J. W.; Jun, C.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, (103)3054
- (104) Suggs, J. W.; Pearson, G. D. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 3853
- (105) Foot, R. J.; Heaton, B. T. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 295.
- Suggs, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, 101, 489. Suggs, J. W.; Cox, S. D. Organometallics **1982**, 1, 402. (106)
- (107)
- (108) Anklin, C. G.; Pregosin, P. S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 243,
- (109) Uson, R.; Fornies, J.; Espinet, P.; Lalinde, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 254, 371
- (110) Banford, J.; Dawoodi, Z.; Henrick, K.; Mays, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 554.
- (111) Dawoodi, Z.; Mays, M. J..; Raithby, P. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 219, 103.
- (112) Burgess, K.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 233, C55.
 (113) Deeming, A. J.; Peters, R.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Backer-Dirks, J. D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1982, 787.
- (114) Jackson, P. F.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Nelson, W. J. H.; McPartlin, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1982, 2099.
- (115) Chan, Y.-W.; Wood, F. E.; Renner, M. W.; Hope, H.; Balch, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3380.
- (116) De Renzi, A.; Panunzi, A.; Scalone, M.; Vitagliano, A. J. Oranomet. Chem. 1980, 192, 129.
- (117) De Renzi, A.; Di Blasio, B.; Morelli, G.; Vitagliano, A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 63, 233.
 (118) Nonoyama, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 262, 407.
 (119) Canty, A. J.; Minchin, N. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 226,
- (120) Canty, A. J.; Minchin, N. J.; Patrick, J. M.; White, A. H. J.
- Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 1253.
- (121) Nord, G.; Hazell, A. C.; Hazell, R. G.; Farver, O. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 3429. (122)
- Wickramasinghe, W. A.; Bird, P. H.; Serpone, N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1284.
- (123) Nonoyama, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 3749.
- (124) Nonoyama, M.; Kajita, S. Transition Met. Chem. (Weinheim, Ger.) 1981, 6, 163.
 (125) Nonoyama, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 229, 287.
- (126)Nonoyama, M.; Sugimoto, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1979, 35,
- 131 (127)
- (128)
- Nonoyama, M. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1980, 42, 297. Nonoyama, M.; Sugiura, C. Polyhedron 1982, 1, 179. Chia, L.-Y.; McWhinnie, W. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, (129)188, 121.
- 188, 121.
 (130) Sokolov, V. I.; Troitskaya, L. L.; Reutov, O. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 133, C28.
 (131) Troitskaya, L. L.; Sokolov, V. I.; Reutov, O. A. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1977, 236, 371.
 (132) Sokolov, V. I.; Troitskaya, L. L.; Reutov, O. A. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1977, 237, 1376.
 (133) Sokolov, V. I.; Troitskaya, L. L.; Reutov, O. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 182, 537.
 (134) Sokolov, V. I.; Troitskaya, L. L.; Reutov, O. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 182, 537.
 (135) Komatsu, T.; Nonoyama, M.; Fujita, J. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1981, 54, 186.

- 1981, 54, 186. Sokolov, V. I.; Troitskaya, L. L.; Gautheron, B.; Tainturier,
- (136)G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 235, 369.
- (137) Sugimoto, M.; Nonoyama, M. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1979, 15, 405.

- (138) Sokolov, V. I.; Troitskaya, L. L.; Reutov, O. A. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1979, 246, 124. (139) Kasahara, A.; Izumi, T.; Watabe, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.
- (199) Rasanara, R., Rumi, T., Watabo, M. Dawi, C.C.M. 2000 (1991)
 (140) Izumi, T.; Endo, K.; Saito, O.; Shimizu, I.; Maemura, M.; Kasahara, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1978, 51, 663.
 (141) Sokolov, V. I.; Troitskaya, L. L.; Reutov, O. A. J. Organomet.
- Chem. 1980, 202, C58.
- (142) Kasahara, A.; Izumi, T.; Maemura, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1977, 50, 1878.
- (143) Kamiyama, S.-i.; Kimura, T.; Kasahara, A.; Izumi, T.; Maemura, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 142.
 (144) Izumi, T.; Maemura, M.; Endoh, K.; Oikawa, T.; Zakozi, S.; Kasahara, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1981, 54, 836.
 (145) Cooper, M. K.; Yaniuk, D. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 2000 (2000)
- 221. 231

- 221, 231.
 (146) McCrindle, R.; Alyea, E. C.; Dias, S. A.; McAlees, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 640.
 (147) McCrindle, R.; Ferguson, G.; Khan, M. A.; McAlees, A. J.; Ruhl, B. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1981, 986.
 (148) McCrindle, R.; Ferguson, G.; McAlees, A. J.; Ruhl, B. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 204, 273.
 (140) Arete M. De Facie M. L. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 196, 100.
- (149) Aresta, M.; De Fazio, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 186, 109.
 (150) Hegedus, L. S.; Winton, P. M; Varaprath, S. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2215. Hegedus, L. S.; Allen, G. F.; Bozell, J. J.; Waterman, E. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 5800. Korte, D. E.; Hegedus, L. S.; Wirth, R. K. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42 1329. Hayashi, T.; Hegedus, L. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977 99, 7093. Hegedus, L. S.; Hayashi, T.; Darlington, H. Ibid. 1978, 100, 7747.
- (151) Benayache, F.; Jullien, J.; Solgadi, D. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1981, 159.
- (152) Cooper, M. K.; Stevens, P. V.; McPartlin, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 553.

- (153) May, C. J.; Powell, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 209, 131.
 (154) Cooper, M. K.; Yaniuk, D. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 164, 211.
- (155) Cocivera, M.; McAlees, A. J.; McCrindle, R.; Szezecinski, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 235, 97.
 (156) Anderson, O. P.; Packard, A. B. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1129.
- (157) Deeming, A. J.; Rothwell, I. P. Pure Appl. Chem. 1980, 52,
- 649 (158) Galli, B.; Gasparrini, F.; Mann, B. E.; Maresea, L.; Natile, G.; Manotti-Lanfredi, A. M.; Tiripicchio, A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 1155.
- (159) Albinati, A.; Pregosin, P. S.; Ruedi, R. Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 68, 2046.
- (160) Dickson, R. S.; Nesbit, R. J.; Pateras, H.; Baimbridge, W.; Patrick, J. M.; White, A. H. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2128.
- (161) Keijsper, J.; Polm, L. H.; van Koten, G.; Veieze, K.; Nielsen, E.; Stam, C. H. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2006.
 (162) Suggs, J. W.; Jun, C.-H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.
- 1985, 92.
- (163) Suggs, J. W.; Woukulich, M. J.; Cox, S. D. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1101.
- (164) Koh, J. J.; Lee, W.-H.; Williard, P. G.; Risen, W. M., Jr. J. Organometal. Chem. 1985, 284, 409.
- (165) Crabtree, R. H.; Holt, E. M.; Lavin, M.; Morehouse, S. M. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1986.
- (166) Crabtree, R. H.; Lavin, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 794.
- (167) Troitskaya, L. L.; Bulygina, L. A.; Sokolov, V. I. *Izv. Akad.* Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1984, 2406.
 (168) Kotz, J. C.; Getty, E. E., Lin, L. Organometallics 1985, 4, 610.
 (169) Ryabov, A. D.; Titov, V. M.; Kazankov, G. M.; Belova, A. B. Koord. Khim. 1985, 11, 805.
 (170) Organ Emissive Malacements I. Vargeneta T. V
- (170) Ozawa, Fumiyuki; Nakano, M.; Aoyama, I.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamamoto, A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 382.